Hispanic Identification on

Illinois Cancer Death Certificates

by

Therese A. Dolecek, Ph.D. Holly L. Howe, Ph.D.

Division of Epidemiologic Studies

A Publication of the Illinois Department of Public Health Division of Epidemiologic Studies Illinois State Cancer Registry Springfield, IL 67261

August 1998

Acknowledgments

The authors express their gratitude to the staff of the Illinois Department of Public Health, Division of Vital Records, and all others responsible for providing the data that made possible the study of Hispanic identification on Illinois cancer death certificates.

Special thanks to Mr. David Word with the U.S. Bureau of the Census and senior author of the working paper, *Building a Spanish Surname List for the 1990's A New Approach to An Old Problem*, for providing direction in the application of the approach to death certificate data in Illinois.

Suggested citation

Dolecek TA, Howe HL. Hispanic Identification on Illinois Cancer Death Certificates. *Epidemiologic Report Series* 98:3. Springfield, Ill.: Illinois Department of Public Health, August 1998.

Copyright information

All material in this report is in the public domain and may be reproduced or copied without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

Table of Contents

| Executive Summary |
|--|
| Introduction |
| Objectives |
| Methods |
| Information Sources for Hispanic Identification Hispanic Origin Data Elements Birthplace and Race Surnames Application in Illinois Procedures |
| Results and Discussion |
| Hispanic Origin Data Elements Comparison of Illinois and U.S. Hispanic Ancestral Subgroup Compositions Hispanic Identification on Illinois Cancer Death Certificates Compared with ISCR Birthplace Racial Composition of Hispanic Decedents Hispanic Status of Illinois Surnames Illinois Cancer Decedent Surnames by Collapsed Census Spanish Surname Study Categories Surnames of Cancer Cases Born in Hispanic Non-U.S. Birthplaces Surnames of Illinois Cancer Decedents of Filipino, American Indian and Hawaiian Race Effects of Adjustment for Surname on Hispanic Birthplace as Hispanic Identifier Hispanic Identification Hispanic Cancer Mortality Rates |
| Conclusions |
| Limitations |
| References |
| Tables |
| Appendix Alphabetical and Categorical Listing of United States Bureau of the Census Heavily Hispanic Last and Maiden Names for Illinois Cancer Decedents, 1986 to 1996 |

Executive Summary

A study of Hispanic identification on Illinois cancer death certificates for 1986 to 1996 was conducted using methods established from a comprehensive Hispanic identification study in the Illinois State Cancer Registry (ISCR). The purpose was to establish a policy that defines the reporting of Hispanic cancer deaths in Illinois and is consistent with the reporting of Hispanic cancer incidence.

Origin or Hispanic origin data contained on Illinois death certificates filed from 1986 to 1996 were collected. It was determined that approximately 85 percent to 90 percent of Hispanic decedents could be identified directly from information on these death certificates. Indirect identifiers, including birthplace and surname, were examined to determine if development of an algorithm that used both direct and indirect information could improve ascertainment of Hispanic cancer decedents in Illinois.

Birthplaces outside of the United States (U.S.) that suggest probable Hispanic ethnic status include Central America (Belize, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua and Panama), Cuba, Mexico, Puerto Rico, South America (Argentina, Bolivia, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, Paraguay, Peru, Uruguay and Venezuela) and Spain (Andorra, Balearic Islands and Canary Islands). An evaluation of decedents born in Hispanic non-U.S. birthplaces indicated that the use of birthplace information could enhance Hispanic cancer death identification.

Spanish surnames are known to be predictors of Hispanic status. In March 1996, a Spanish surname list, developed through special study of 1990 census surnames and Hispanic origin responses, became available to facilitate Hispanic identification in the U.S. Evaluation of the census Spanish surname approach indicated that it was applicable to the Hispanic population in Illinois and was suitable for indirect Hispanic identification of Illinois decedents.

It was then determined that a combination of direct and indirect Hispanic identification was necessary to produce the most complete ascertainment of Hispanic cancer decedents, as was the case with Hispanic cancer incidence on ISCR. An algorithm was created, using both direct (origin or Hispanic origin) and indirect (Hispanic non-U.S. birthplace and heavily Hispanic surname) identifiers on Illinois death certificates, that maximized the probability of correct Hispanic identification of cancer decedents.

Hispanic cancer mortality will be reported using the established algorithm for reports and special studies in the Division of Epidemiologic Studies. More valid and reliable cancer mortality data on Illinois' Hispanic population residing in Illinois should guide future cancer control and prevention programs for this rapidly growing ethnic group.

Introduction

In 1997, an Hispanic identification study in the Illinois State Cancer Registry (ISCR) was conducted to respond to the need for accurate cancer incidence data on the state's Hispanic population.¹ This study was prompted by the fact that Illinois is among 11 states where the United States (U.S.) Hispanic population is concentrated. By some estimates, Hispanics are increasing at a rate five times that of the rest of the country and are projected to become the largest minority group in the U.S. by the year 2000.^{2,3}

A population presence of this nature necessitates targeted health care planning at federal, state and local levels. Such activities require valid and reliable data on the respective population to form a basis for accurate needs assessments and subsequent program planning, implementation and surveillance. However, program development for Hispanics has been impeded due to a lack of health-related data on the group. Only recently have changes in the organized U.S. data collection systems specifically targeted the Hispanic population.

The ISCR Hispanic identification study successfully established a means by which Hispanic cancer incidence could be accurately ascertained from 1989 to the present for use in directing cancer control and prevention activities in the state. Because cancer mortality data must be considered with cancer incidence, a companion study of Hispanic identification on Illinois death certificates was initiated.

A comprehensive evaluation of current Hispanic identification on Illinois death certificates was conducted from December 1997 to March 1998. The use of algorithms to enhance identification with indirect sources was studied using methods from the Hispanic identification study in ISCR with the intention of producing accurate information on cancer mortality among Illinois' Hispanic population to guide future cancer control and prevention programs. This report describes that study.

Objectives

- 1. To examine Hispanic identification methods from 1986 to 1996 on Illinois death certificates by applying the methodology from the Hispanic identification in ISCR study.
- 2. To determine the applicability of a U.S. Bureau of the Census Spanish surname product made available in March 1996 to Hispanic identification on Illinois death certificate data.
- 3. To establish an effective methodology using all available relevant information from Illinois death certificates, which assures the most complete ascertainment of Illinois Hispanic decedents, to study cancer mortality trends among the ethnic group.

Methods

Information Sources for Hispanic Identification

The identification of Hispanic persons on existing databases, including cancer registries, has been studied using several sources of information.⁴ These include specific Hispanic origin data elements, birthplace, race and surname. Illinois death certificates contain data for all of these sources of Hispanic information.

Hispanic Origin Data Elements

Origin or ethnicity has been collected on Illinois vital records over the time period included in this study using two formats. As shown in Table 1, a change in format emphasizing Hispanic origin rather than origin, in general, was made in 1989.

Birthplace and Race

Because of the high migration rates of Hispanic persons to the U.S., information on birthplace is useful as an indirect identifier. Birthplaces that suggest probable Hispanic ethnic status include Central America (Belize, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua and Panama), Cuba, Mexico, Puerto Rico, South America (Argentina, Bolivia, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, Paraguay, Peru, Uruguay and Venezuela) and Spain (Andorra, Balearic Islands and Canary Islands). Conversely, birthplace also may serve as a means to refine methodologies by avoiding erroneous identification of individuals where Spanish surnames may prevail but classification as Hispanic is incorrect. These birthplaces include Asia (especially the Philippines), the Atlantic/Caribbean area (excluding Cuba and Puerto Rico), Europe (excluding Spain), the Pacific area, the Panama canal zone and South America (including Brazil, French Guiana, Guyana and Suriname). Birthplace has been documented on Illinois death certificates over the study period 1986 to 1996. However, a change was made in 1989 that substantially expanded the number of birthplaces that could be coded. These birthplaces are shown with geocodes used for data obtained on Illinois death certificates in Table 2.

Among certain race groups, Spanish names are prevalent but these persons are not Hispanic and should be excluded during identification procedures. Such race groups include American Indian, Filipino and Hawaiian. Data on race are routinely collected on Illinois death certificates.

Surnames

Spanish surname lists have been available from the U.S. Bureau of the Census for 1950, 1960, 1970 and 1980. These resources have been used to identify Hispanic individuals for a variety of purposes, including identification on cancer registry databases. The lists have specified a surname as Spanish and names not listed have been assumed to be non-Spanish.

In March 1996, a Spanish surname product became available using data from the 1990 census. Unlike previous products, this version offers a more refined approach to Hispanic identification using surnames.

The development of this product made use of 5,609,592 census records with name appended for the purpose of determining undercount. These records included both a valid surname and a response to the 1990 Hispanic origin question allowing the cross-tabulation of Spanish origin question responses by surname and the ability to group surnames based on frequency of responses.

From these records, surnames were identified for 1,868,781 "householders" to mitigate clustering effects because most persons within a household also have the same surname and ethnicity. Therefore, the generalizability of results from this census bureau study is greatest for male householders and females who have never married.

A classification scheme was created from the database used for the 1990 census Spanish surname study. Using both the relationship of the surname to the Hispanic origin response and the frequency of occurrence of the name in the sample, these 28 Spanish surname categories were established.

| Heavily | Generally | Moderately | Occasionally | Rarely |
|----------|-----------|------------|--------------|----------|
| Hispanic | Hispanic | Hispanic | Hispanic | Hispanic |
| 0101 | 0201 | 0301 | 0405 | 5001 |
| 0102 | 0202 | 0302 | 0410 | 5005 |
| 0105 | 0205 | 0305 | 0415 | 5010 |
| 0110 | 0210 | 0310 | 0425 | 5025 |
| 0115 | 0215 | 0315 | | 5100 |
| 0125 | 0225 | 0325 | | 5500 |

The first two characters denote Hispanic classification (01 heavily Hispanic, 02 generally Hispanic, 03 moderately Hispanic, 04 occasionally Hispanic and 50 rarely Hispanic). The criterion for placing a surname within each category depended on the proportion of householders with the surname responding affirmatively to the Hispanic origin item on the 1990 census form. The criterion for each of the five Spanish surname categories was as follows.

| Spanish Surname Classification | Proportion of Householders Who Are Hispanic |
|--------------------------------|---|
| 01 Heavily Hispanic | Over 75 Percent |
| 02 Generally Hispanic | 50 Percent $< x \le 75$ Percent |
| 03 Moderately Hispanic | 25 Percent $< x \le 50$ Percent |
| 04 Occasionally Hispanic | 5 Percent $< x \le 25$ Percent |
| 50 Rarely Hispanic | 5 Percent $\geq x$ |

The third and fourth characters represent the frequency with which a name occurred in the study sample. (For example, category 0125 includes surnames that are heavily Hispanic with at least 25 observations.)

In addition, other characteristics indicating strengths or weaknesses of the association between surname and Hispanic status, including Spanish state, orthographic structure of the name (Buechley rules) and status relative to the 1980 Spanish surname list, were examined when the schematic coding system was developed. The approach is described in more detail elsewhere.⁵

Illinois death certificates contain last name, father's last name and marital status of the decedent.

Application in Illinois

An Hispanic identification evaluation study was conducted from December 1997 through March 1998 using data from Illinois death certificates on Illinois master death files for years 1986 to 1996, the time period that parallels the reporting of Illinois cancer incidence data by ISCR.

Procedures

- 1. Data obtained included last name, father's last name, sex, race, marital status, birthplace, origin (1986 to 1988) or Hispanic origin (1989 to 1996) and underlying cause of death (*International Classification of Diseases*, version 9, codes limited to cancer sites, including 140.0 to 208.9). The Hispanic origin, birthplace and race data on the cancer death files were then evaluated and described. Hispanic origin data for Illinois deaths were compared with U.S. Hispanic cancer mortality data for 1991 to determine any differences in ancestral subgroup composition between Illinois and the U.S. The year 1991 was selected because it is the midpoint for the 1986 to 1996 time period of the study. In addition, Illinois cancer mortality files for 1986 to 1996 were matched to ISCR cancer incidence files for 1986 to 1994. Agreement between incidence and death certificate data for direct ascertainment of Hispanic origin was assessed to determine the quality of death certificate relative to ISCR data.
- 2. Then, a file with the 1990 census Spanish origin names and schematic codes was matched electronically using the add variables procedure of SPSS for Windows (version 7.0) allowing classification of last names for all decedents and maiden name (father's last name) for ever married females according to the 1990 census Spanish surname study scheme. Last names were 100 percent complete for all decedents. Father's last name was available for 97 percent of ever married females providing maiden names for these decedents. Missing maiden names were coded as 9000 (unknown). Names not on the census Spanish origin name file were coded 6000 (no match). Thus, all last names for males and females and maiden names for ever married females on the Illinois cancer death files for 1986 to 1996 were classified into one of 30 categories (28 U.S. Bureau of the Census study categories, no match category 6000 or missing category 9000).

- 3. Next, the most commonly heavily Hispanic (0125) and rarely Hispanic (5500) names on the cancer death files were identified and compared with those from the 1990 census Spanish surname study for similarities and differences. Last names for males and never married females and maiden names for ever married females were examined separately. Only 145 females were reported to have unknown marital status and were, therefore, excluded from indirect Hispanic identification by surname.
- 4. Then, the distributions of last names for males and never married females and maiden names were compared with those from the census Spanish surname study as classified by the 28 categories, again to examine similarities and differences. Selected summary statistics, including number of names in category, occurrences and percent Hispanic according to the Hispanic origin status documented on the death certificate, were the basis for comparisons.
- 5. Next, the annual distributions of the last names and maiden names by all 30 categories over the 1986 to 1996 time period were examined for number of occurrences, percent Hispanic, percent names per year and percent residing in Illinois Hispanic counties. In Illinois, most Hispanic persons reside in the following seven counties: Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, Rock Island, Will and Winnebago.
- 6. At this point in the study, the degree of similarity of Illinois decedent names to the census study surnames and their relationships to Hispanic status was determined. Depending on the findings, one of two approaches could have been taken with respect to the application of Spanish surnames to the identification of Hispanic cancer decedents in Illinois. If the census study Spanish surname relationships with Hispanic status were similar to those observed for Illinois, the identification procedures would be done using the census Spanish surname schematic codes. If the comparison of the census study findings with Illinois were dissimilar, a Spanish surname schematic coding system tailored to Illinois would have been developed using Illinois surnames and Hispanic origin as identified on death certificates using the census Spanish surname study methodology.
- 7. After it was determined that the best approach was to use the census Spanish surname study scheme rather than developing a separate scheme for Illinois, last and maiden names classified by the 30 categories were collapsed into seven broad categories, including heavily Hispanic, generally Hispanic, moderately Hispanic, occasionally Hispanic, rarely Hispanic, no match and missing as follows.

0100 Heavily Hispanic: Categories 0101, 0102, 0105, 0110, 0115 and 0125

0200 Generally Hispanic: Categories 0201, 0202, 0205, 0210, 0215 and 0225

0300 Moderately Hispanic: Categories 0301, 0302, 0305, 0310, 0315 and 0325

0400 Occasionally Hispanic: Categories 0405, 0410, 0415 and 0425

5000 Rarely Hispanic: Categories 5001, 5005, 5020, 5025, 5100 and 5500

6000 No Match: Category 6000

9000 Missing: Category 9000

Percent Hispanic, percent names per year and percent residing in Hispanic counties were again examined by collapsed category for last and maiden names.

8. Hispanic surname variables were then created. First, decedents born in places where Spanish names are prevalent but ethnic status is not Hispanic were excluded from Hispanic identification by name. Also, decedents whose race was documented as American Indian, Filipino or Hawaiian were excluded from Hispanic identification using name criteria. Hispanic identification using name was then implemented to produce four Hispanic identification variables that reflected the strength of association of name with Hispanic status for each decedent (shown in Table 3).

In summary, males and never married females with last names in the 5000 and 6000 categories were not considered for Hispanic identification using Spanish surname classification. Ever married females with maiden names in the 5000 and 6000 categories were not considered for Hispanic identification regardless of the Spanish status of their last name. If maiden name was missing for an ever married female, the decedent was not considered for Hispanic identification using name because so few maiden names were missing on the cancer death files. Females whose marital status was unknown also were excluded from Hispanic identification based on name.

9. Then, an Hispanic birthplace variable was created for all decedents on the cancer death files for Hispanic identification purposes. Decedents identified as Hispanic were those born in non-U.S. birthplaces associated with high probability of Hispanic ethnicity listed in Table 2. (Hispanic birthplace in this document refers to only non-U.S. birthplaces and does not include the 11 states where the Hispanic population is concentrated in the U.S.)

An adjustment that eliminated those decedents classified as Hispanic by birthplace based on last and maiden name status was applied as follows. Males and never married females with last names in the 5000 and 6000 categories were eliminated from Hispanic identification based solely on birthplace. Ever married females born in Hispanic non-U.S. birthplaces with maiden names in the 5000, 6000 and 9000 (unknown) categories were excluded from Hispanic identification only on birthplace. Females with unknown marital status were not considered for indirect Hispanic identification based on Hispanic non-U.S. birthplace status. The adjustment eliminated non-Hispanic individuals who had settled in Spanish countries. (Many generations of English, German, Dutch and French families have resided in areas of South America.)

- 10. Hispanic identification was then implemented using available data on Hispanic origin, surname and birthplace. Fourteen Hispanic variables using combinations of available data were created and are shown in Table 4. The numbers and percentages of Hispanic decedents identified over the 1986 to 1996 study period using each variable were calculated and compared to determine the effects of Hispanic status information separately and in combination.
- 11. The four combined Hispanic non-U.S. birthplace/surname variables (indirect Hispanic identifiers) were then compared relative to the Hispanic origin only variable (direct Hispanic identifier) over the 1986 to1996 time frame as follows. The sensitivity, positive predictive value (PPV), specificity and negative predictive value (NPV) were calculated for each of the four Hispanic non-U.S. birthplace/surname combinations relative to death certificate Hispanic origin status for all decedents

in order to determine how relaxing the surname criteria, through the graded inclusion of the 0100, 0200, 0300 and 0400 surname categories, affected the indirect Hispanic identification relationship to Hispanic origin as defined on Illinois death certificates.

12. Three Hispanic identifier variables were selected to calculate and to evaluate cancer mortality rates for Illinois based on findings from evaluations of Hispanic origin, Hispanic non-U.S. birthplace and surnames as well as from comparisons of indirect to direct Hispanic identification. These included direct, indirect and combined direct and indirect identifiers.

Denominators used for cancer incidence rate calculations included the 1990 U.S. census Modified Age, Race and Sex (MARS) population counts for white Hispanic, non-white Hispanic, Hispanic (all races) and non-Hispanic (all races) males and females as well as U.S. Bureau of the Census population counts and intercensal estimates for years 1986 to 1996 for Hispanics and non-Hispanics in Illinois. Cancer mortality rates were calculated for selected sites using the Health Information Retrieval System (HIRS) software developed by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and were age-adjusted using the 1970 U.S. standard.

Illinois Hispanic and non-Hispanic cancer mortality rates were calculated for sites that were reported recently in the monograph, *Racial/Ethnic Patterns of Cancer in the United States 1988-1992.* These included all sites combined, oral cavity excluding nasopharynx, nasopharynx, esophagus, stomach, colon and rectum, liver and intrahepatic bile duct, pancreas, larynx, lung and bronchus, melanomas, breast, urinary bladder, kidney and renal pelvis, brain and other nervous system, thyroid, Hodgkin's disease, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, multiple myeloma and leukemias for males and females. Prostate and testis cancer mortality rates also were calculated for males and cervix, corpus uteri and ovary for females. In addition, gallbladder cancer mortality rates for both males and females were calculated, even though U.S. rates were not reported, because gallbladder-related diseases have been shown to be more common among Hispanics, particularly Mexican Americans.⁷

Illinois Hispanic cancer mortality rates were calculated for 1988 to 1992 and were compared with U.S. white Hispanic and white non-Hispanic cancer incidence rates for 1988 to 1992. Illinois Hispanic (all races) cancer mortality rates were also calculated for 1988 to 1992 and compared with reported U.S. Hispanic (all races) cancer mortality rates for 1988 to 1992.

Means and standard deviations were computed for site-specific cancer death rates (n=21 for males and n=22 for females). Pearson correlations were made between the observed Illinois rates and the reported U.S. rates for each respective race-Hispanic-sex group.

Illinois cancer mortality rates also were calculated for years 1986 to 1996 using the three Hispanic identification variables for all sites combined and for the selected sites. The U.S. Hispanic (all races) cancer mortality rates for 1988 to 1992 are presented with the Illinois Hispanic (all races) cancer mortality rates for 1986 to 1996.

| | 11 | |
|--|----|--|

13. Based on the results of this study, the methodology by which Hispanic cancer decedents are defined for future division publications and studies was determined.

Results and Discussion

Tables 5 through 28 and the Appendix present results of the study on Hispanic identification on Illinois cancer death certificates.

Hispanic Origin Data Elements

Table 5 presents Hispanic origin data on Illinois cancer deaths for 1986 through 1996. When the emphasis on Hispanic origin was implemented in 1989, the only major change was a decrease in the number and proportion "not classifiable".

Mexican is the largest Hispanic subgroup, followed by Puerto Rican, for Illinois cancer deaths from 1986 to 1996 (Table 6). An improved ability to discriminate Hispanic subgroups with the addition of a more focused Hispanic origin element in 1989 is evidenced by a substantial decrease in classification as "other/unknown Spanish" from 1989 to 1996 compared with 1986 to 1988.

Comparison of Illinois and U.S. Hispanic Ancestral Subgroup Compositions

The Hispanic ancestral subgroup composition for all 1991 U.S. deaths was obtained for comparison with all Illinois deaths for 1991 for ancestral subgroup comparisons as shown below.

| | Illinois 1991 | | U.S. 1991 | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--------|-----------|--------|
| Hispanic Category | count | % | count | % |
| Mexican | 1,309 | 1.26 | 43,371 | 2.00 |
| Puerto Rican | 510 | 0.49 | 10,156 | 0.47 |
| Cuban | 89 | 0.09 | 7,901 | 0.36 |
| South/Central American | 99 | 0.10 | 5,828 | 0.27 |
| Other/Unknown Spanish | 261 | 0.25 | 14,244 | 0.66 |
| Not Classifiable | 940 | 0.90 | 63,574 | 2.93 |
| Non-Hispanic | 100,663 | 96.91 | 2,027,986 | 93.32 |
| Total | 103,871 | 100.00 | 2,173,060 | 100.0 |
| | | | | 0 |
| | Illinois 19 | 991 | U.S. 1991 | |
| Hispanic Ancestral Subgroup | count | % | count | % |
| Mexican | 1,309 | 57.72 | 43,371 | 53.22 |
| Puerto Rican | 510 | 22.49 | 10,156 | 12.46 |
| Cuban | 89 | 3.92 | 7,901 | 9.69 |
| South/Central American | 99 | 4.37 | 5,828 | 7.15 |
| Other/Unknown Spanish | 261 | 11.51 | 14,244 | 17.48 |
| All Subgroups | 2,268 | 100.00 | 81,500 | 100.00 |

When all Hispanic categories are compared for 1991, 3.75 percent of U.S. deaths and 2.19 percent of Illinois deaths were classified as Hispanic. The distribution by Hispanic ancestral subgroup

shows Mexican to be the largest Hispanic ancestral subgroup for both Illinois and the U.S. Proportionately more U.S. Hispanic deaths were classified as "other/unknown Spanish" than Illinois deaths in 1991, probably reflecting the more focused Hispanic origin data element in Illinois whereas U.S. data reflect data elements from almost all states. Illinois had proportionately more Puerto Rican and less Cuban and South/Central American decedents than the U.S. in 1991.

Hispanic Identification on Illinois Cancer Death Certificates Compared with ISCR

A final examination of the quality of the Hispanic origin element on Illinois cancer death certificates involved the matching of the Illinois cancer death file for 1986 to 1996 to the ISCR database for 1986 to 1994. The 267,499 cancer deaths occurring from 1986 to 1996 were matched to the 437,099 cancer incidence cases for 1986 to 1994 on last name and social security number using the add variables procedure of SPSS for Windows (version 7.0). A file with 142,309 matched cases was produced. Analyses for agreement between cancer death certificate records and ISCR incidence report form data were conducted for Hispanic origin 93,984 matched records with only cancer incidence cases diagnosed from 1989 to 1994 because Hispanic origin data collection was implemented in ISCR in 1989. The results are summarized as follows.

Analysis for agreement between the Hispanic origin on the death certificate with Hispanic origin on the ISCR incidence report form showed the Kappa statistic to be 0.791 (p<0.001) indicating 79.1 percent agreement beyond chance. Kappa statistics for the three major Hispanic ancestral subgroups, Mexican, Puerto Rican and Cuban, were 0.565, 0.708 and 0.690, respectively. Agreement between the two Hispanic origin assessments may be considered excellent for all Hispanics and fair to good for each of the ancestral subgroups.⁸

Birthplace

The reported birthplaces for Hispanic persons dying of cancer in Illinois from 1986 to 1996 are shown in Table 7. Almost 35 percent of Hispanic decedents were reported to be the born in Mexico. Puerto Rico, Illinois, Texas and Cuba were birthplaces reported in the next greatest proportions.

Table 8 displays data for Illinois cancer decedents born in Hispanic non-U.S. birthplaces. As would be expected, the largest proportions of decedents were born in Mexico, Puerto Rico and Cuba. Over the entire 1986 to 1996 time span, the positive predictive value of Hispanic non-U.S. birthplace for Hispanic status exceeded 90 percent for all but three of 11 years.

Racial Composition of Hispanic Decedents

Table 9 shows cross-tabulations of Hispanic ancestral subgroups by race for Illinois cancer deaths 1986 to 1996. More than 97 percent of Hispanic cancer deaths were classified as white. Approximately one percent was reported as black and the remainder was distributed among other racial groups. The largest proportion of Hispanic cancer deaths reported as black were Cuban. Since

more black Hispanics would be expected among the Puerto Rican as well as Cuban Hispanic ancestral subgroups, given that historically African slaves were brought to these islands, it is unclear why more Puerto Rican decedents were not documented as black on Illinois death certificates.

Hispanic Status of Illinois Surnames

Tables 10 and 11 show comparisons for last names for males and never married females and maiden names for ever married females with those identified in the 1990 census Spanish surname study by rank for the 12 most common heavily Hispanic surnames (0125) and the 12 most common rarely Hispanic surnames (5500). As shown, the Illinois names are very similar to those observed in the census Spanish surname study. The name Garcia, observed to be the most frequently occurring heavily Hispanic surname in the census study sample, ranked first along with Rodriguez for last names of males and never married females (Table 10) and second for ever married females in Illinois (Table 11). Rodriguez was the most frequently occurring heavily Hispanic surname for ever married female decedents in Illinois during 1986 to 1996 ranking third among surnames on the census study data set.

For last names of Illinois male and never married female decedents (Table 10), Gonzales ranked 16th in Illinois and 12th in the census study. More names in Illinois were observed with equal frequency because the number of decedents in Illinois from 1986 to 1996 was considerably less than the census sample. The names Flores, Ortiz, Reyes and Ramos ranked in the top 12 in Illinois but in the census sample 13th, 16th, 19th and 20th, respectively. For category 5500, Moore ranked 14th in Illinois and 10th in the census study sample, whereas Jackson ranked 9th in Illinois but 20th in the census study.

Maiden names of ever married females are compared with the census study sample in Table 11. Like Table 10, more Illinois names achieved the same rank due to the smaller numbers. All of the census sample names were among the 12 top names for Illinois with a few exceptions. Gonzales ranked 16th for Illinois and 12th in the census sample 0125 category. In the 5500 category, Moore ranked 13th in Illinois and 10th in the census sample. Also, the 5500 category name Thomas ranked 15th in Illinois and 12th in the census sample. The 0125 category names Flores, Diaz, Ortiz, Cruz, Gutierrez and Castillo ranked in the top 12 for ever married female cancer decedents in Illinois but in the census sample 13th, 14th, 16th, 17th, 24th and 25th, respectively

It is apparent that, at least from the frequency perspective, the Spanish surnames in Illinois are very similar to those observed in the national sample. The census Spanish schematic surname classification system is likely suitable for application on Illinois death certificates as it was for the ISCR database.

Tables 12 and 13 present comparisons of selected summary statistics (number of surnames, occurrences and percent Hispanic) for last names of males and never married females and for maiden names of ever married females with the census Spanish surname study for all 28 Spanish surname categories, respectively. Percent Hispanic for Illinois cancer deaths reflects the origin or Hispanic

origin data, whereas the census Spanish surname study used self-identified responses to the Hispanic origin question on the census form.

For both last and maiden name comparisons, fewer names were identified for most categories in Illinois than the census Spanish surname study, especially for the lower frequency census scheme categories (i.e., 0101, 5001), due to the fact that the number of occurrences for Illinois cancer deaths during 1986 to 1996 was considerably less than the census Spanish surname sample. Of the 639 most frequently observed heavily Hispanic surnames (0125) on the census data set, 404 and 358 appeared on Illinois cancer death certificates from 1986 to 1996 for males and never married females and ever married females, respectively. The positive predictive values of the heavily, generally, moderately and occasionally Hispanic surname categories for Hispanic status measured by the census question are greater than those observed for Illinois although the categorical relationship patterns are similar for both Illinois cancer deaths 1986 to 1996 and the census Spanish surname study. That is, a greater proportion of Hispanic cases are identified as the category becomes more Hispanic. The most likely explanation for the differences between Illinois and the census study in observed strength of the surname category Hispanic status associations is that the Illinois data are limited to information provided by the individual responsible for completing the death certificate and are subject to more error than self-identification on the census questionnaire. It is very unlikely that the surname Hispanic status associations would differ that greatly for the Illinois population compared with the census study given the similarity of surname occurrences.

Overall, little differences were apparent between surname category and proportion Hispanic when comparing Table 12 with Table 13, suggesting the scheme may be just as generalizable to ever married females when maiden names are available as to males and females who have never married.

For Illinois, it appears that the census Spanish surname classification system offers a more accurate means to indirect Hispanic identification than a scheme developed using only Illinois deaths certificate names. It is very unlikely that the true strength of the surname categorical relationships with Hispanic status would differ that greatly for the Illinois population compared with the census study sample given their similarities in occurrence frequency and surname Hispanic status association patterns. Therefore, like the Hispanic identification in ISCR study the study of Hispanic identification on Illinois cancer death certificates proceeded using Illinois surnames classified by the census Spanish surname study classification scheme to evaluate indirect Hispanic identification using surname.

Illinois Cancer Decedent Surnames by Collapsed Census Spanish Surname Study Categories

Tables 14 and 15 present last names of males and never married females and maiden names for ever married females for Illinois cancer decedents 1986 to 1996 by collapsed census schematic codes. These tables also include the percent decedents identified as Hispanic by surname classification with and without adjustment for birthplace and race. The proportions of decedents excluded from Hispanic identification using the census Spanish surname schematic system based upon birthplace and/or race as described in "Methods" ranged from about 2 percent to 7 percent for years 1986 to 1996.

As shown, the greatest proportion of Hispanic cancer decedents (approximately 80 percent) have last or maiden names in the heavily Hispanic surname category. The adjustment for birthplace and race strengthens the predictive value of surname for Hispanic status slightly. More decedents with heavily Hispanic surnames resided in the seven Hispanic counties in Illinois than those in other surname categories. Selected summary statistics for all surname study categories are presented in Tables 16 and 17 for last names of males and never married females and for maiden names of ever married females, respectively. The 0125 category includes surnames of the largest proportion of Hispanic cancer decedents in Illinois.

Surnames of Cancer Cases Born in Hispanic Non-U.S. Birthplaces

Table 18 shows the last and maiden names of Illinois cancer decedents 1986 to 1996 born in Hispanic non-U.S. birthplaces by the collapsed census Spanish surname study categories. As shown, approximately 80 percent of these decedents have last names in the heavily Hispanic 0100 category. It is important to note that about 3 percent have names in the rarely Hispanic 5000 category and about 9 percent have names in the no match 6000 category. As presented in Tables 14 through 17, the proportion Hispanic for categories 5000 and 6000 was very low and, therefore, decedents with surnames in these categories should be excluded when Hispanic non-U.S. birthplace is applied as an indirect Hispanic identifier to avoid erroneous selection.

Surnames of Illinois Cancer Decedents of American Indian, Filipino and Hawaiian Race

A total of 266 of 267,499 cancer decedents for 1986 to 1996, or 0.10 percent, were reported to be among the three race groups where Spanish names are common but ethnicity is not Hispanic (Table 19). The composition included 65 (24.4 percent) American Indians, 173 (65.0 percent) Filipinos and 28 (10.5 percent) Hawaiians. All three race groups had last or maiden names in the heavily and generally Hispanic surname categories. Among Filipinos and Hawaiians about 25 percent and among American Indians approximately 9 percent of the last or maiden names were among the heavily Hispanic surname categories. Although a large proportion of surnames for these race groups fall into the heavily Hispanic category, these decedents should be excluded from indirect Hispanic identification because it is known that generally they are not Hispanic.

Effects of Adjustment for Surname on Hispanic non-U.S. Birthplace As Hispanic Identifier

As discussed in "Methods", an Hispanic birthplace variable was created for each decedent on the Illinois cancer death files for 1986 to 1996. Hispanic status based on birthplace was then adjusted for Spanish surname status for each decedent. As shown, when decedents born in Hispanic birthplaces who had last or maiden names in the rarely Hispanic (5000), no match (6000) or missing (9000) categories were removed, the proportion identified as Hispanic increased on average by 3.6 percent.

Percent Hispanic by Hispanic Non-U.S. Birthplace, Illinois Cancer Deaths, 1986 to 1996

| Hispanic | 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Birthplace | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unadjusted | 91.7 | 96.2 | 95.9 | 94.8 | 88.4 | 90.3 | 89.7 | 91.2 | 93.5 | 93.5 | 87.8 | 90.5 |
| Adjusted* | 95.3 | 96.6 | 97.1 | 97.6 | 91.5 | 95.7 | 93.5 | 94.9 | 98.3 | 96.1 | 93.1 | 94.0 |

^{*} Cancer deaths with surnames in rarely Hispanic, no match or missing excluded

Such an adjustment serves to improve the precision of Hispanic identification using birthplace, especially when only indirect evidence for Hispanic status is available and should be incorporated into algorithms for Hispanic identification purposes.

Table 20 displays sensitivity, PPV, specificity and NPV estimates for combinations of adjusted Spanish surname and non-U.S. Hispanic birthplace combinations relative to reported Hispanic origin for cancer deaths in Illinois 1986 to 1996. As the surname criteria becomes more relaxed, including decedents with surnames in the generally, moderately and occasionally Hispanic categories, sensitivity increases, PPV decreases, specificity decreases and NPV would be expected to increase but shows no change due to the proportionately greater number of decedents with surnames in the rarely or no match categories.

Although the decrease in specificity may appear slight, it should be kept in mind that far more decedents are non-Hispanic than Hispanic and even a fraction of a percent could result in substantial false Hispanic identification of decedents with a drop in specificity. The use of indirect measures for our purposes should maximize correct identification.

Hispanic Identification

Table 21 shows the results of Hispanic identification using 14 combinations of Hispanic origin, Hispanic non-U.S. birthplace and surname. It is evident that with additional data the number and proportion of cancer decedents identified as Hispanic increases. Our goal in evaluating these identifiers was to determine which maximize the selection of true Hispanic cancer deaths and minimize incorrect selection.

Highlighted are the three Hispanic identifiers selected for further study of Hispanic cancer mortality rates. The three Hispanic identifiers included (1) death certificate Hispanic origin only, the direct identifier; (2) heavily Hispanic surnames and/or Hispanic non-U.S. birthplace, the indirect identifier; and (3) death certificate Hispanic origin status and/or heavily Hispanic surnames and/or Hispanic non-U.S. birthplace, the combined direct and indirect identifier.

Hispanic origin is a completely direct Hispanic identifier because these decedents were determined to be Hispanic by persons completing death certificates. This means of identification has the distinct advantage of identifying Hispanic decedents when no evidence of Hispanic status is apparent through indirect means.

Although the Illinois death certificate includes a means to directly identify Hispanic decedents, an indirect Hispanic identifier was selected to study the quality of Hispanic identification using indirect sources. Ideally, an optimal indirect Hispanic identifier would be established with an algorithm that maximizes correct identification using birthplace, race, marital status and surname. Information for all of these variables is available on Illinois death certificates.

The Hispanic identifier that included decedents born in Hispanic non-U.S. birthplace and/or decedents with heavily Hispanic surnames was selected for further evaluation in this study as the indirect identifier. The rationale for selection of this identifier was that, first, decedents born in Hispanic non-U.S. birthplaces adjusted for surname have about a 95 percent probability of being Hispanic. Second, the heavily Hispanic surnames were shown to be associated with the greatest proportions of Hispanic persons for both Illinois cancer deaths 1986 to 1996 and the census Spanish surname study (Tables 12 and 13). For the census study, the heavily Hispanic 0100 categories were over 90 percent Hispanic and for Illinois between 60 percent to 85 percent Hispanic with the exception of category 0101. The Appendix contains an alphabetical list of the 0100 last and maiden names appearing on Illinois cancer death certificates from 1986 to 1996 with the specific census Spanish surname category number.

Although the generally Hispanic 0200 census Spanish surname categories for the census study sample also contained high proportions of Hispanic persons (50.0 percent to 77.9 percent), the proportion Hispanic for Illinois cancer deaths was much lower in these categories. In addition, although the national census sample and the Illinois population appear to be very similar based on the evaluation of surnames, differences undoubtedly do exist and those difference most likely would be manifest in the generally, moderately and occasionally Hispanic surname categories. An example of this was apparent when all surnames in the heavily, generally, moderately and occasionally Hispanic surname categories were examined for frequency of occurrence on the Illinois cancer death files for 1986 to 1996. The surnames Moran and Costello, category 0425, appeared among the top 12 when all heavily, generally, moderately and occasionally Hispanic surnames for Illinois were considered. Moran and Costello are occasionally prevalent among Hispanics nationally but also are prevalent among persons of Irish origin and Illinois has a large Irish population subgroup. Costello also is common among Americans of Italian ancestry and Illinois has a large Italian American population. If all of the Hispanic category surnames were considered, substantial false positive identification would have been made because Moran and Costello would have been used as indirect identifiers. Based on these observations, it was decided that only heavily Hispanic census category surnames be used for indirect Hispanic identification to reduce the chance of false positive selections.

The third Hispanic identifier is the strongest among those presented in Table 21 because it combines both direct and indirect information in a manner that maximizes correct Hispanic identification based on the results of this evaluation. "Hispanic" is defined as (1) all decedents identified as Hispanic on death certificates; (2) decedents with heavily Hispanic last or maiden names not born in birthplaces associated with high Spanish surname prevalence and low probability of Hispanic ethnicity and not of American Indian, Filipino or Hawaiian race; and (3) decedents born in birthplaces associated with high probability of Hispanic ethnicity and not having last or maiden names

in the rarely Hispanic, no match or missing categories. In this manner, all information on the Illinois death certificate is used in a manner that maximizes accurate Hispanic identification and minimizes false positive identification.

Table 22 shows the proportion Hispanic cancer deaths identified using each of the seven possible combinations of Hispanic origin, Hispanic non-U.S. birthplace and heavily Hispanic last or maiden name when Hispanic cancer deaths are identified using the combined direct and indirect identifier. Approximately 13 percent of Hispanic cancer deaths could be identified only by Hispanic status documented on the death certificate and about 15 percent could be identified only with indirect birthplace and/or surname information. As shown, most identification involves Hispanic origin as documented on the death certificate and heavily Hispanic surname. Hispanic non-U.S. birthplace alone identified the least Hispanic decedents, about 0.2 percent.

Hispanic Cancer Mortality Rates

Tables 23 and 24 present white Hispanic cancer mortality rates for 1988 to 1992 using the three Hispanic variables compared with those reported for the U.S. for males and females, respectively. Hispanic (all races) male and female comparisons are shown in Table 25. Descriptive and analytic statistics for data presented in Tables 23 to 25 are shown in Table 26.

The U.S. Hispanic cancer mortality rates represent one of the few standards for comparison. However, when using this standard for comparison, the assumption must be made that the Illinois Hispanic population is similar to the U.S. Hispanic population with respect to cancer mortality. It was shown on page 10 that similarities and some differences between the 1991 Illinois deaths and 1991 U.S. deaths were apparent for Hispanic ancestral subgroup composition. Although the known patterns of cancer for Hispanics tend to be shared by most of the ancestral subgroups, the fact that Illinois' Hispanic composition differs somewhat from the nation should be recognized when interpreting these data.

Overall, the patterns of cancer deaths among Hispanics compared with non-Hispanics in Illinois shown in Tables 23 and 24 appear to be consistent with the available reports on Hispanic cancer mortality. On the Hispanic males and females had lower all sites combined cancer mortality rates compared with their white non-Hispanic counterparts in Illinois. White Hispanic males in Illinois have lower cancer mortality rates of lung, prostate, colon and rectum, oral cavity, melanoma and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma than white non-Hispanic males in Illinois. White Hispanic females in Illinois have lower rates of cancers of the breast, colon and rectum, corpus uteri, lung, ovary, oral cavity, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and melanoma than their white non-Hispanic counterparts. Conversely, white Hispanic males have higher rates of stomach, liver and gallbladder cancer compared with white non-Hispanic males in Illinois. Higher rates of cancers of the cervix, stomach, liver and gallbladder occurred among white Hispanic females than among white non-Hispanic females in Illinois.

The most frequently occurring cancer deaths for Hispanic males and females in Illinois were sites consistent with those observed for the U.S. Hispanic population (Table 25).^{6,9-11} Cancers of the lung, colon and rectum, prostate, stomach and liver were the most common sites for Illinois Hispanic males. The most common cancer-related causes of death for Illinois Hispanic females were from cancers of the breast, lung, colon and rectum.

In summary, all Pearson correlation coefficients (range 0.989 to 0.994) comparing the cancer mortality rates calculated using the three Hispanic identifiers for Illinois with the U.S. rates were highly correlated (p<0.01) for both males and females. The least differences between the means of Illinois and U.S. cancer mortality rates were apparent with the combined direct and indirect Hispanic identifier.

Tables 27 and 28 show Hispanic cancer mortality rates from 1986 to 1996 using the three Hispanic identifiers for males and females, respectively. The U.S. Hispanic (all races) cancer mortality rate for 1988 to 1992 also is presented with each site for comparison purposes.

Conclusions

- 1. Hispanic identification has been in effect on Illinois death certificates from 1986 to 1996. A change in the origin item emphasizing Hispanic ethnicity in 1989 appeared to improve identification for specific Hispanic ancestral subgroups and fewer decedents were reported to be not classifiable. Direct Hispanic identification using death certificate Hispanic origin elements was capable of identifying about 85 percent of Hispanic cancer deaths. About 13 percent of Hispanic cancer deaths from 1986 to 1996 could only be identified through direct Hispanic identification. Agreement between Illinois death certificate and Illinois State Cancer Registry (ISCR) Hispanic identification was nearly 80 percent.
- 2. Surnames and their relationships to Hispanic status categorically presented in the U.S. Bureau of the Census' working paper, *Building a Spanish Surname List for the 1990's A New Approach to An Old Problem*, were very similar to those observed for Illinois cancer decedents during years 1986 to 1996 and offer an indirect means for Hispanic identification in Illinois.
- 3. Hispanic non-U.S. birthplaces, including Central America (Belize, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua and Panama), Cuba, Mexico, Puerto Rico, South America (Argentina, Bolivia, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, Paraguay, Peru, Uruguay and Venezuela) and Spain (Andorra, Balearic Islands and Canary Islands), also were demonstrated to be valid indirect identifiers of Hispanic status.
- 4. The 1990 census Spanish surname list and Hispanic non-U.S. birthplace data offer a valid approach to indirect Hispanic identification of Illinois decedents. Combined surname and Hispanic non-U.S. birthplace could identify an additional 15 percent Hispanic decedents who were most likely misclassified as non-Hispanic on Illinois death certificates. However, special study would be necessary to determine the precision of the 15 percent estimate.
- 5. Exclusion of decedents based on race, birthplace and/or surname status from indirect identification to improve precision was shown to increase positive predictive values of Hispanic non-U.S. birthplaces and Hispanic surnames for Hispanic status. Therefore, these exclusions should be incorporated into algorithms for indirect Hispanic identification on Illinois death certificates.
- 6. Illinois cancer mortality rates calculated using Hispanic decedents identified with both direct and indirect means for years 1988 to 1992 demonstrated the least difference from those recently published for five-year cancer mortality rates observed among the U.S. Hispanic population and should be used routinely for the analysis of cancer deaths in the Hispanic population residing in Illinois.
- 7. Based on the findings of this study, the Hispanic identifier that will be used routinely to identify Hispanic Illinois cancer decedents is as follows. "Hispanic" is defined as (1) all decedents identified on Illinois death certificates as Hispanic; (2) decedents with heavily Hispanic surnames (last name for males and never married females and maiden names for ever married females) not born in birthplaces associated with high Spanish surname prevalence and low probability of Hispanic ethnicity and not

of American Indian, Filipino or Hawaiian race; and (3) decedents born in birthplaces associated with high probability of Hispanic ethnicity and not having last names in the rarely Hispanic or no match census Spanish surname study categories for males and never married females and maiden names in the rarely Hispanic, no match or missing categories for ever married females. Females with marital status missing will be excluded from indirect Hispanic identification.

Limitations

The limitations of the Hispanic identification on Illinois cancer death certificates study are the same as those reported for the Hispanic identification in the Illinois State Cancer Registry study.

- 1. All results from this study are only estimates because it is not possible to know for certain the exact number of Hispanic cancer decedents in Illinois. Undoubtedly, a small proportion of Hispanic cancer decedents are missed even though procedures of direct identification on death certificate and indirect identification through birthplace, race and surname are employed routinely. Despite efforts to avoid false positive identifications, some decedents will still be identified as Hispanic who are indeed non-Hispanic since the indirect identification criteria are not 100 percent certain and misclassifications also may occur during direct identification due to errors on vital records. Even though it is not possible to estimate how many Hispanic decedents are missed or misclassified, the actual numbers are likely to be small using the combined direct and indirect Hispanic identifier developed in this study.
- 2. Identification using the algorithm, which incorporates both direct and indirect methods, cannot specify Hispanic ancestral subgroup. Spanish surnames are not specific to ancestral subgroups.
- 3. The surname approach from the U.S. Bureau of the Census is applicable for the 1990s. As Hispanics acculturate, changes in surname Hispanic status relationships will occur nationally and in Illinois. These changes may render use of Spanish surname lists obsolete and, therefore, the effectiveness of algorithms for Hispanic identification should be monitored and changed accordingly.
- 4. It is fortunate that the census Spanish surname study approach could be applied in its entirety to the population of Illinois. The ability to use this application is attributable to the similarity of the Illinois Hispanic population to the nation's Hispanic population. States sharing this representativeness could probably use the approach as has Illinois. However, other states, such as New Mexico, whose Hispanic surnames are unique, would have more difficulty in its application. This factor should be considered when using Spanish surname approaches to indirect Hispanic identification.

References

- 1. Dolecek TA and Howe HL. Hispanic Identification in the Illinois State Cancer Registry. *Epidemiologic Report Series* 98:2 . Springfield, Ill.: Illinois Department of Public Health, June 1998.
- 2. Census Bureau releases refined estimates from post-enumeration survey of 1990 census coverage. *U.S. Department of Commerce News*. June 13, 1991. U.S. Dept of Commerce Publication CB #91-221.
- 3. Council on Scientific Affairs, American Medical Association. Hispanic health in the United States. *J.A.M.A.* 1991;265:248-252.
- 4. North American Association of Central Cancer Registries. Uniform Data Standards Committee. Subcommittee on Methodologic Issues of Measuring Cancer among Hispanics. Final Report of Atlanta Symposium, 1996.
- 5. Word DL and Perkins RC. *Building a Spanish Surname List for the 1990's--A New Approach to An Old Problem.* Population Division Working Paper No. 13. February 1996.
- 6. Miller BA, Kolonel LN, Bernstein L, Young, Jr. JL, Swanson GM, West D, Key CR, Liff JM, Glover CS, Alexander GA, et al. (eds). *Racial/Ethnic Patterns of Cancer in the United States* 1988-1992, National Cancer Institute. NIH Pub. No. 96-4104. Bethesda, MD, 1996.
- 7. Wagener DK and McDonald M. Increased gallbladder-related mortality among Hispanics: does education play a role? *Ethn Health* 1996;197-205.
- 8. Landis JR and Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics* 1977a;33:159-174.
- 9. Trapido EJ, Valdez RB, Obeso JL, Strickman-Stein N, Rotger A, Perez-Stable EJ. Epidemiology of cancer among Hispanics in the United States. *Monogr Natl Cancer Inst* 18:17-28, 1995.
- 10. Gutierrez-Ramirez A, Valdez RB, Carter-Pokras O. Chapter 8. Cancer. In: Molina CW, Aguirre-Molina M. Eds. *Latino Health in the US: A Growing Challenge*. American Public Health Association, 1994.

Tables

Hispanic Identification on Illinois Cancer Death Certificates 1986 to 1996

Table 1

Hispanic Identification Elements on Illinois Vital Records

prior to 1989 Origin

01=Mexican

02=Puerto Rican 15=Other North, Central, South American

14=Italian

03=Cuban 16=Other Western European

04=Central or South American17=Other Northern European05=Other and Unknown Spanish18=Other Eastern European

06=American 19=Other Southern European 07=Indian 20=Southeast Asian, Pacific Islander

08=British, Scottish, Welch, Scotch-Irish
20=Southeast Asian, Pacific Islander
21=South Central Asian

09=Irish 21=South Central Asian 22=Other Asian

10=German23=North African11=French24=Other African

12=Norwegian, Swedish, Danish 88=Not on Certificate 13=Polish 99=Not Classifiable

revised 1989 Hispanic Origin

0=Non-Hispanic

1=Mexican

2=Puerto Rican

3=Cuban

4=Central or South American

5=Other and Unknown Spanish

9=Not Classifiable

Table 2
Birthplaces Relevant to Indirect Hispanic Identification
Hispanic Identification on Illinois Cancer Death Certificates, 1986 to 1996

Birthplaces Associated with High Probability of Hispanic Ethnicity

| MX Mexico | CX Central America including | SB South America including | SP Spain |
|----------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|
| | GT Guatemala | CB Colombia | AJ Andorra |
| PR Puerto Rico | BL Belize | VZ Venezuela | |
| | HO Honduras | EC Ecuador | |
| CU Cuba | SS El Salvador | PU Peru | |
| | NI Nicaragua | BV Bolivia | |
| | CR Costa Rica | CL Chile | |
| | RP Panama | AG Argentina | |
| | | PG Paraguay | |
| | | UY Uruguay | |

Birthplaces Associated with High Spanish Surname Prevalence and Low Probability of Hispanic Ethnicity

| Atlantic/Caribbean Area excluding Puerto Rico and Cuba | Pacific Area (AS, CE, KI, GU, JA, XI, MP,MW, | South America including | Europe including Portugal (EO, UN, EN, WL, ST, IE, NO, IC,NW, DK, SV,FN, |
|---|--|-------------------------|--|
| (AB, AI, BB, BH, BK, BM, CI, CS, DR, GN, HA, JM, MQ, TR, XK, XV, VI) | NN, WK, NZ, TT, XA) | BZ Brazil | GE, NT, LX, SZ, AT, LI, FR, PT, IT, RO, PO, CK, BO, SQ, YG, SJ, CC, BU, RU, UR, ES, LV, GR, HU, AA, GD,MM, CY) |
| | | GY Guyana | Asia (AC, TY, SY, LN, JO, IQ, |
| CZ Canal Zone | | SN Suriname | SA, YE, SM, UA, BJ, KU,OM, IS, PL, GG, AM, AV, IR, AF, PK, MH, II, |
| | | FG French Guiana | NP, BN, BA, BR, TH, LS, CD, VM, MY, SI, BI, IO, PH, CN, HK, TW, MF, MG, JP, KO |

Highlighted Codes Used for Records Preceding 1989 and Records After 1989.

Table 3 Hispanic Surname Variable Criteria* Hispanic Identification on Illinois Cancer Death Certificates 1986 to 1996

Heavily Hispanic Name Variable

Males with last name in 0100 category Never married females with last name in 0100 category Ever married females with maiden name in 0100 category

Heavily and Generally Hispanic Name Variable:

Decedents classified as Hispanic in the heavily Hispanic name variable Males with last name in 0200 category

Never married females with last name in 0200 category

Ever married females with maiden name in 0200 category

Heavily and Generally and Moderately Hispanic Name Variable

Decedents classified as Hispanic in the heavily and generally Hispanic name variable Males with last name in 0300 category

Never married females with last name in 0300 category

Ever married females with maiden name in 0300 category

Heavily and Generally and Moderately and Occasionally Hispanic Name Variable

Decedents classified as Hispanic in the heavily and generally and moderately Hispanic variable Males with last name in 0400 category

Never married females with last name in 0400 category

Ever married females with maiden name in 0400 category

* The last and maiden names for cancer decedents by Spanish surname schematic codes were collapsed as follows:

Heavily (0100): Categories 0101, 0102, 0105, 0110, 0115 and 0125 Generally (0200): Categories 0201, 0202, 0205, 0210,0215 and 0225 Moderately (0300): Categories 0301, 0302, 0305, 0310, 0315 and 0325

Occasionally (0400): Categories 0405, 0410, 0415 and 0425

Table 4 Hispanic Identification Variables Hispanic Identification on Illinois Cancer Death Certificates Study 1986 to 1996

- 1. Hispanic Origin (HO) Only
- 2. HO and Hispanic Non-U.S. Birthplace (BP)

Spanish Surname Only

- 3. Heavily Hispanic
- 4. and Generally Hispanic
- 5. and Moderately Hispanic
- 6. and Occasionally Hispanic

Spanish Surname and BP

- 7. Heavily Hispanic
- 8. and Generally Hispanic
- 9. and Moderately Hispanic
- 10. and Occasionally Hispanic

HO and BP and Spanish Surname

- 11. Heavily Hispanic
- 12. and Generally Hispanic
- 13. and Moderately Hispanic
- 14. and Occasionally Hispanic

Table 5

Distribution of Hispanic Origin Categories, Illinois Cancer Deaths, 1986-1996

| Category | 1 | 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 Origin | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 Hispanic | 1993 Origin | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
|------------------|---------------------|--------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------------|----------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Mexican | count % per year | 2,164 0.8 | 112 0.5 | 134 0.6 | 117 0.5 | 189 0.8 | 182 0.7 | 197 0.8 | 204 0.8 | 268 1.1 | 245 1.0 | 244 1.0 | 272 1.1 |
| Puerto Rican | count | 772 | 49 | 43 | 50 | 54 | 63 | 73 | 77 | 86 | 98 | 90 | 89 |
| | % per year | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Cuban | count | 230 | 11 | 21 | 23 | 20 | 18 | 22 | 25 | 16 | 22 | 25 | 27 |
| | % per year | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| South/Central | count | 297 | 17 | 22 | 12 | 26 | 29 | 30 | 26 | 36 | 29 | 33 | 37 |
| American | % per year | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Other/Unknown | count | 451 | 39 | 52 | 58 | 40 | 33 | 51 | 41 | 44 | 48 | 22 | 23 |
| Spanish | % per year | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Not Classifiable | count | 5,035 | 1,096 | 1,122 | 1,208 | 279 | 216 | 217 | 155 | 158 | 149 | 203 | 232 |
| | % per year | 1.9 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Non-Hispanic | count | 258,550 | 21,842 | 21,917 | 22,108 | 23,329 | 23,902 | 23,798 | 24,088 | 24,655 | 24,500 | 24,398 | 24,013 |
| | % per year | 96.7 | 94.3 | 94.0 | 93.8 | 97.5 | 97.8 | 97.6 | 97.9 | 97.6 | 97.6 | 97.5 | 97.2 |
| Total | count | 267,499 | 23,166 | 23,311 | 23,576 | 23,937 | 24,443 | 24,388 | 24,616 | 25,263 | 25,091 | 25,015 | 24,693 |

Table 6
Proportion of Hispanic Cancer Deaths by Ancestral Subgroup, Illinois, 1986-1996

| | 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
|------------------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Ancestral Subgroup | | C | rigin | | | | Hisp | anic O | rigin | | | |
| Mexican | 55.3 | 49.1 | 49.3 | 45.0 | 57.4 | 56.0 | 52.8 | 54.7 | 59.6 | 55.4 | 58.9 | 60.7 |
| Puerto Rican | 19.7 | 21.5 | 15.8 | 19.2 | 16.4 | 19.4 | 19.6 | 20.6 | 19.1 | 22.2 | 21.7 | 19.9 |
| Cuban | 5.9 | 4.8 | 7.7 | 8.8 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 3.6 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| South/Central American | 7.6 | 7.5 | 8.1 | 4.6 | 7.9 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 6.6 | 8.0 | 8.3 |
| Other/Unknown Spanish | 11.5 | 17.1 | 19.1 | 22.3 | 12.2 | 10.2 | 13.7 | 11.0 | 9.8 | 10.9 | 5.3 | 5.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 7
Distribution of Reported Birthplaces for Hispanic Cancer Deaths, Illinois, 1986-1996

| United States (U.S.) | | | Hispanic non-U | .S. Birthplac | es | Other Birthp | laces | |
|----------------------|-----|------|------------------|---------------|------|-------------------------|-------|------|
| | No. | % | | No. | % | | No. | % |
| Spanish States | | | Mexico | 1,367 | 34.9 | Brazil | 3 | 0.1 |
| Illinois | 536 | 13.7 | Puerto Rico | 751 | 19.2 | Dominican Republic | 15 | 0.4 |
| Texas | 359 | 9.2 | Cuba | 243 | 6.2 | Jamaica | 3 | 0.1 |
| California | 18 | 0.5 | Central America | 2 | 0.1 | Other Caribbean Islands | 1 | 0.0 |
| New Mexico | 14 | 0.4 | Guatemala | 78 | 2.0 | Canada | 2 | 0.1 |
| Colorado | 12 | 0.3 | British Honduras | 3 | 0.1 | Germany | 2 | 0.1 |
| New York | 11 | 0.3 | Honduras | 18 | 0.5 | France | 1 | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 7 | 0.2 | El Salvador | 25 | 0.6 | Italy | 1 | 0.0 |
| New Jersey | 7 | 0.2 | Nicaragua | 4 | 0.1 | Poland | 5 | 0.1 |
| Florida | 5 | 0.1 | Costa Rica | 4 | 0.1 | Czechoslovakia | 1 | 0.0 |
| Nevada | 1 | 0.0 | Panama | 12 | 0.3 | Russia | 1 | 0.0 |
| Other States | | | South America | 5 | 0.1 | Lithuania | 2 | 0.1 |
| Kansas | 37 | 0.9 | Colombia | 32 | 0.8 | Hungary | 2 | 0.1 |
| Indiana | 20 | 0.5 | Venezuela | 4 | 0.1 | Arabian Peninsula | 1 | 0.0 |
| Missouri | 16 | 0.4 | Ecuador | 25 | 0.6 | Pakistan | 1 | 0.0 |
| Iowa | 15 | 0.4 | Peru | 37 | 0.9 | Burma | 1 | 0.0 |
| Oklahoma | 8 | 0.2 | Bolivia | 8 | 0.2 | Indonesia | 2 | 0.1 |
| Michigan | 8 | 0.2 | Chile | 13 | 0.3 | Philippines | 17 | 0.4 |
| Nebraska | 5 | 0.1 | Argentina | 9 | 0.2 | China NOS | 1 | 0.0 |
| Montana | 5 | 0.1 | Paraguay | 1 | 0.0 | Korea | 1 | 0.0 |
| Rhode Island | 5 | 0.1 | Spain | 16 | 0.4 | Not US but Unknown | 37 | 0.9 |
| Minnesota | 5 | 0.1 | • | | | Unknown | 30 | 0.8 |
| Mississippi | 5 | 0.1 | | | | Total | 3,914 | 99.7 |
| Wisconsin | 4 | 0.1 | | | | | | |
| Ohio | 4 | 0.1 | | | | | | |
| Kentucky | 3 | 0.1 | | | | | | |
| West Virginia | 3 | 0.1 | | | | | | |
| Georgia | 2 | 0.1 | | | | | | |
| Louisiana | 2 | 0.1 | | | | | | |
| Arkansas | 2 | 0.1 | | | | | | |
| Alabama | 1 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| Tennessee | 1 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| North Dakota | 1 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| Vermont | 1 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| Wyoming | 1 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| Pennsylvania | 1 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| Maine | 1 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 0.0 | | | | | | |

Table 8
Description of Cancer Deaths Born in Hispanic Birthplaces, Illinois, 1986-1996

| | | • | | | | • | • | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Birthplace | | 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 19943 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| All Born in Hispanic | count | 2,899 | 159 | 194 | 193 | 225 | 247 | 261 | 284 | 336 | 323 | 329 | 348 |
| Birthplaces | % Hispanic | 91.7 | 96.2 | 95.9 | 94.8 | 88.4 | 90.3 | 89.7 | 91.2 | 93.5 | 93.5 | 87.8 | 90.5 |
| - | % deaths | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mexico | count | 1,442 | 84 | 109 | 95 | 103 | 121 | 119 | 124 | 175 | 164 | 163 | 185 |
| | % Hispanic | 94.8 | 100.0 | 97.2 | 96.8 | 90.3 | 94.2 | 92.4 | 97.6 | 98.3 | 94.5 | 91.4 | 92.4 |
| | % deaths | 49.7 | 52.8 | 56.2 | 49.2 | 45.8 | 49.0 | 45.6 | 43.7 | 52.1 | 50.8 | 49.5 | 53.2 |
| Puerto Rico | count | 780 | 53 | 51 | 57 | 54 | 63 | 71 | 79 | 85 | 95 | 84 | 88 |
| | % Hispanic | 96.3 | 94.3 | 94.1 | 94.7 | 96.3 | 98.4 | 94.4 | 96.2 | 97.6 | 97.9 | 96.4 | 96.6 |
| | % deaths | 26.9 | 33.3 | 26.3 | 29.5 | 24.0 | 25.5 | 27.2 | 27.8 | 25.3 | 29.4 | 25.5 | 25.3 |
| Cuba | count | 274 | 16 | 25 | 28 | 25 | 21 | 25 | 32 | 21 | 23 | 29 | 29 |
| | % Hispanic | 88.7 | 87.5 | 92.0 | 96.4 | 88.0 | 85.7 | 88.0 | 84.4 | 81.0 | 100.0 | 86.2 | 86.2 |
| | % deaths | 9.5 | 10.1 | 12.9 | 14.5 | 11.1 | 8.5 | 9.6 | 11.3 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 8.8 | 8.3 |
| Central America NOS | | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | % Hispanic | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | % deaths | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Guatemala | count | 80 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 11 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| | % Hispanic | 97.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 90.0 | 100.0 |
| | % deaths | 2.8 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| British Honduras | count | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| | % Hispanic | 13.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | % deaths | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Honduras | count | 28 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 4 |
| | % Hispanic | 64.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 33.3 | 50.0 |
| | % deaths | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| El Salvador | count | 29 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| | % Hispanic | 86.2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 40.0 | 100.0 | 83.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | % deaths | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.4 |
| Nicaragua | count | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| | % Hispanic | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 50.0 |
| | % deaths | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| Costa Rica | count | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | % Hispanic | 57.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| | % deaths | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Panama | count | 24 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| | % Hispanic | 50.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 33.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 33.3 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
| | % deaths | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| South America NOS | count | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| | % Hispanic | 41.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| | % deaths | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Columbia | count | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 6 |
| | % Hispanic | 82.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 66.7 | 66.7 |
| | % deaths | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.7 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 8 (continued)
Description of Cancer Deaths Born in Hispanic Birthplaces, Illinois, 1986-1996

| Birthplace | | 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 19943 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
|------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Venezuela | count | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | % Hispanic | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | % deaths | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Ecuador | count | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| | % Hispanic | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 |
| | % deaths | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| Peru | count | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 5 |
| | % Hispanic | 92.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 100.0 |
| | % deaths | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 1.4 |
| Bolivia | count | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | % Hispanic | 88.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| | % deaths | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Chile | count | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| | % Hispanic | 86.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | % deaths | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Argentina | count | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| O | % Hispanic | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 33.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 |
| | % deaths | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| Paraguay | count | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 1 | % Hispanic | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | % deaths | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Uruguay | count | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| · . | % Hispanic | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | % deaths | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Spain | count | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 2 |
| • | % Hispanic | 69.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 60.0 | 75.0 | 50.0 |
| | % deaths | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.6 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 9
Distribution of Hispanic Ancestral Subgroups by Race
Hispanic Cancer Deaths, Illinois, 1986-1996

Hispanic Ancestral Subgroup

| | | All Hispanic | Mexican | Puerto Rican | Cuban | South or Central American | Other Spanish including European |
|-------------|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|-------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Race | | | | | | | |
| Whites | Count | 3,814 | 2,134 | 757 | 219 | 289 | 415 |
| | Percent | 97.4 | 98.6 | 98.1 | 95.2 | 97.3 | 92.0 |
| Blacks | Count | 42 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 16 |
| | Percent | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Native | Count | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| American | Percent | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Chinese | Count | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | Percent | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Filipino | Count | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| r | Percent | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| Asian | Count | 38 | 17 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 7 |
| Indian | Percent | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 1.6 |
| Other Asian | Count | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Percent | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Other | Count | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| - | Percent | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| All Races | Count | 3,914 | 2,164 | 772 | 230 | 297 | 451 |

Table 10 Comparison of Last Names of Males and Never Married Females for Illinois Cancer Deaths, 1986-1996, with 1990 Census Spanish Surname Study Sample Heavily Hispanic (0125) and Rarely Hispanic (5500) Categories

| Heavily | y Hispanic Surnames (Cate | gory 125 | 5). | Rarely | Hispanic Surnames (Cates | gory 550 | 0). |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------|
| Illinois 1986-1 | Cancer Deaths 996 | Census | 1990 | Illinois 1986-1 | Cancer Deaths 996 | Census | 1990 |
| Rank | Surname (Occurrences) | Rank | Surname | Rank | Surname (Occurrences) | Rank | Surname |
| 1. | Garcia (76) Rodriguez (76) | 1. | Garcia | 1. | Smith (1,287) | 1. | Smith |
| 2. | Hernandez (70) | 2. | Martinez | 2. | Johnson (1,286) | 2. | Johnson |
| 3. | Martinez (61) | 3. | Rodriguez | 3. | Williams (870) | 3. | Williams |
| 4. | Gonzalez (57) | 4. | Lopez | 4. | Jones (795) | 4. | Brown |
| 5. | Lopez (53) | 5. | Hernandez | 5. | Brown (737) | 5. | Jones |
| 6. | Perez (43) | 6. | Gonzalez | 6. | Miller (687) | 6. | Davis |
| 7. | Rivera (41) | 7. | Perez | 7. | Davis (602) | 7. | Miller |
| 8. | Sanchez (39) | 8. | Sanchez | 8. | Anderson (590) | 8. | Wilson |
| 9. | Ramirez (32) Torres (32) | 9. | Rivera | 9. | Jackson (434) | 9. | Anderson |
| 10. | Flores (26) | 10. | Ramirez | 10. | Wilson (433) | 10. | Moore |
| 11. | Ortiz (25) | 11. | Torres | 11. | Taylor (415) | 11. | Taylor |
| 12. | Ramos (21) Reyes (21) | 12. | Gonzales | 12. | Thomas (392) | 12. | Thomas |
| 16. | Gonzales (48) | 13. | Flores | 14 | Moore (381) | 20. | Jackson |
| | | 16. | Ortiz | | | | |
| | | 19. | Reyes | | | | |
| | | 20. | Ramos | | | | |

Table 11
Comparison of Maiden Names of Ever Married Females for
Illinois Cancer Deaths, 1986-1996, with 1990 Census Spanish Surname Study Sample
Heavily Hispanic (0125) and Rarely Hispanic (5500) Categories

| Heavily | Hispanic Surnames (Cate | egory 125 | 5). | Rarely | Hispanic Surnames (Cates | gory 5500) |). |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------------|------------|----------|
| Illinois 1986-1 | Cancer Deaths 996 | Census | 1990 | Illinois 1986-1 | s Cancer Deaths | Census 1 | 1990 |
| Rank | Surname (Occurrences) | Rank | Surname | Rank | Surname (Occurrences) | Rank | Surname |
| 1. | Rodriguez (54) | 1. | Garcia | 1. | Smith (966) | 1. | Smith |
| 2. | Garcia (51) | 2. | Martinez | 2. | Johnson (964) | 2. | Johnson |
| 3. | Gonzalez (45) Martinez (45) | 3. | Rodriguez | 3. | Williams (636) | 3. | Williams |
| 4. | Hernandez (32) | 4. | Lopez | 4. | Miller (575) | 4. | Brown |
| 5. | Lopez (31) Rivera (31) | 5. | Hernandez | 5. | Brown (514) | 5. | Jones |
| 6. | Perez (26) | 6. | Gonzalez | 6. | Jones (505) | 6. | Davis |
| 7. | Diaz (24) | 7. | Perez | 7. | Anderson (463) | 7. | Miller |
| 8. | Ramirez (18) | 8. | Sanchez | 8. | Davis (402) | 8. | Wilson |
| 9. | Castillo (16) | 9. | Rivera | 9. | Wilson (307) | 9. | Anderson |
| 10. | Cruz (15) | 10. | Ramirez | 10. | Thompson (295) | 10. | Moore |
| 11. | Flores (15) Gutierrez (15) | 11. | Torres | 11. | Taylor (287) | 11. | Taylor |
| 12. | Ortiz (14) | 12. | Gonzales | 12. | Jackson (282) | 12. | Thomas |
| 16. | Gonzales (48) | 13. | Flores | 13. | Moore (277) | 15. | Thompson |
| | | 14. | Diaz | 15. | Thomas (259) | 20. | Jackson |
| | | 16. | Ortiz | | | | |
| | | 17. | Cruz | | | | |
| | | 24. | Gutierrez | | | | |
| | | 25. | Castillo | | | | |

Table 12 Comparison of Selected Summary Statistics for Surnames of Males and Never Married Females on Illinois Cancer Death Certificates, 1986-1996, with Surnames on the 1990 Census Spanish Surname Study

| | | | eavily Hispa | | | | |
|-------------------|----------|-------|--------------|---------------|--------|---------|---------|
| Category | | 0101 | 0102 | 0105 | 0110 | 0115 | 0125 |
| Number of Names | Illinois | 78 | 83 | 62 | 49 | 69 | 404 |
| | Census | 7,974 | 2,463 | 625 | 263 | 251 | 639 |
| Occurrences | Illinois | 98 | 90 | 66 | 61 | 88 | 2,021 |
| | Census | 7,974 | 6,626 | 4,300 | 3,295 | 5,080 | 115,526 |
| *Percent Hispanic | Illinois | 28.6 | 65.6 | 72.7 | 70.5 | 77.3 | 83.0 |
| | Census | 100.0 | 96.1 | 94.8 | 94.6 | 93.5 | 94.2 |
| | | | Gen | erally Hispa | nic | | |
| Category | | 0201 | 0202 | 0205 | 0210 | 0215 | 0225 |
| Number of Names | Illinois | 7 | 20 | 26 | 7 | 11 | 23 |
| | Census | 218 | 354 | 106 | 25 | 25 | 39 |
| Occurrences | Illinois | 7 | 22 | 35 | 15 | 17 | 92 |
| | Census | 436 | 1,041 | 1,046 | 449 | 726 | 4,038 |
| *Percent Hispanic | Illinois | 42.9 | 18.2 | 37.1 | 33.3 | 52.9 | 35.9 |
| • | Census | 50.0 | 77.9 | 64.8 | 64.6 | 63.8 | 64.0 |
| | | | Mod | erately Hisp | anic | | |
| Category | | 0301 | 0302 | 0305 | 0310 | 0315 | 0325 |
| Number of Names | Illinois | 71 | 37 | 23 | 8 | 3 | 6 |
| | Census | 3,611 | 260 | 68 | 21 | 10 | 11 |
| Occurrences | Illinois | 91 | 55 | 44 | 15 | 3 | 18 |
| | Census | 4,288 | 1,345 | 1,187 | 640 | 522 | 1,190 |
| *Percent Hispanic | Illinois | 6.6 | 10.9 | 13.6 | 20.0 | 0 | 16.7 |
| • | Census | 71.4 | 49.7 | 37.2 | 39.2 | 38.1 | 39.6 |
| | | | Occa | sionally Hisp | anic | | |
| Category | | | | 0405 | 0410 | 0415 | 0425 |
| Number of Names | Illinois | | | 44 | 12 | 13 | 5 |
| | Census | | | 65 | 16 | 13 | 5 |
| Occurrences | Illinois | | | 207 | 101 | 136 | 65 |
| | Census | | | 3,265 | 1,445 | 2,253 | 1,375 |
| *Percent Hispanic | Illinois | | | 1.0 | 4.0 | 5.1 | 7.7 |
| 1 | Census | | | 12.6 | 12.1 | 11.5 | 17.7 |
| | | | Ra | arely Hispan | ic | | |
| Category | | 5001 | 5005 | 5010 | 5025 | 5100 | 5500 |
| Number of Names | Illinois | 295 | 329 | 550 | 1,193 | 1,132 | 353 |
| | Census | 3,354 | 969 | 986 | 1,411 | 1,141 | 353 |
| Occurrences | Illinois | 426 | 546 | 1,317 | 5,220 | 17,613 | 38,470 |
| | Census | 7,940 | 7,642 | 16,689 | 74,881 | 249,666 | 522,614 |
| | | | | | | | |
| *Percent Hispanic | Illinois | 1.9 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

 $^{{\}rm *Percent\ Hispanic\ for\ Illinois\ was\ determined\ using\ \ Hispanic\ identification\ during\ 1986-1996}.$

Table 13 Comparison of Selected Summary Statistics for Surnames of Ever Married Females on Illinois Death Certificates, 1986-1996, with Surnames on the 1990 Census Spanish Surname Study

| | | Не | eavily Hispa | nic | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|-------|--------------|---------------|--------|---------|---------|
| Category | | 0101 | 0102 | 0105 | 0110 | 0115 | 0125 |
| Number of Names | Illinois | 67 | 63 | 49 | 24 | 55 | 358 |
| | Census | 7,974 | 2,463 | 625 | 263 | 251 | 639 |
| Occurrences | Illinois | 73 | 67 | 53 | 29 | 59 | 1,324 |
| | Census | 7,974 | 6,626 | 4,300 | 3,295 | 5,080 | 115,526 |
| *Percent Hispanic | Illinois | 30.1 | 62.7 | 67.9 | 69.0 | 81.4 | 84.1 |
| | Census | 100.0 | 96.1 | 94.8 | 94.6 | 93.5 | 94.2 |
| | | | Gen | erally Hispai | | | |
| Category | | 0201 | 0202 | 0205 | 0210 | 0215 | 0225 |
| Number of Names | Illinois | 4 | 20 | 15 | 12 | 7 | 24 |
| | Census | 218 | 354 | 106 | 25 | 25 | 39 |
| Occurrences | Illinois | 4 | 22 | 17 | 15 | 12 | 53 |
| | Census | 436 | 1,041 | 1,046 | 449 | 726 | 4,038 |
| *Percent Hispanic | Illinois | 75.0 | 18.2 | 35.3 | 6.7 | 50.0 | 39.6 |
| • | Census | 50.0 | 77.9 | 64.8 | 64.6 | 63.8 | 64.0 |
| | | | Mode | rately Hispa | nic | | |
| Category | | 0301 | 0302 | 0305 | 0310 | 0315 | 0325 |
| Number of Names | Illinois | 53 | 45 | 28 | 10 | 5 | 8 |
| | Census | 3,611 | 260 | 68 | 21 | 10 | 11 |
| Occurrences | Illinois | 60 | 57 | 36 | 20 | 8 | 26 |
| | Census | 4,288 | 1,345 | 1,187 | 640 | 522 | 1,190 |
| *Percent Hispanic | Illinois | 5.0 | 5.3 | 11.1 | 5.0 | 25.0 | 11.5 |
| • | Census | 71.4 | 49.7 | 37.2 | 39.2 | 38.1 | 39.6 |
| | | | Occas | ionally Hispa | anic | | |
| Category | | | | 0405 | 0410 | 0415 | 0425 |
| Number of Names | Illinois | | | 31 | 12 | 13 | 4 |
| | Census | | | 65 | 16 | 13 | 5 |
| Occurrences | Illinois | | | 120 | 66 | 123 | 47 |
| | Census | | | 3,265 | 1,445 | 2,253 | 1,375 |
| *Percent Hispanic | Illinois | | | 1.7 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 2.1 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Census | | | 12.6 | 12.1 | 11.5 | 17.7 |
| | | | Ra | rely Hispani | | | |
| Category | | 5001 | 5005 | 5010 | 5025 | 5100 | 5500 |
| Number of Names | Illinois | 260 | 270 | 474 | 1,115 | 1,092 | 352 |
| | Census | 3,354 | 969 | 986 | 1,411 | 1,141 | 353 |
| Occurrences | Illinois | 342 | 395 | 911 | 3,950 | 12,997 | 27,889 |
| occurrences | Census | 7,940 | 7,642 | 16,689 | 74,881 | 249,666 | 522,614 |
| *Percent Hispanic | Illinois | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 1 creem mispanic | Census | 41.5 | 15.6 | 7.7 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| | Consus | 71.5 | 13.0 | /./ | 2.5 | 1.0 | 0.7 |

^{**}Percent Hispanic for Illinois was determined using Hispanic identification during 1986-1996.

Source: Illinois Master Death Tapes 1986 to 1996

Table 14
Selected Summary Statistics for Last Names of Males and Never Married Females by
Collapsed Census Schematic Codes, Illinois, 1986-1996

| Category | | 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1993 | 1996 |
|--------------|--|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Heavily | Unadjusted Count | 2,424 | 174 | 182 | 193 | 189 | 199 | 208 | 226 | 269 | 270 | 256 | 258 |
| | Adjusted Count % Hispanic | 2,347 | 171 | 179 | 191 | 186 | 188 | 202 | 224 | 260 | 256 | 244 | 246 |
| | Unadjusted | 79.4 | 69.0 | 75.3 | 66.8 | 82.5 | 82.9 | 79.3 | 80.5 | 86.6 | 83.3 | 79.3 | 81.0 |
| | Adjusted | 81.6 | 69.0 | 76.0 | 67.5 | 83.3 | 87.2 | 81.7 | 81.3 | 89.2 | 87.1 | 82.8 | 84.6 |
| | % Hispanic County | 91.9 | 89.7 | 89.0 | 92.2 | 92.1 | 88.9 | 92.8 | 93.4 | 94.4 | 90.7 | 92.6 | 93.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| Generally | Unadjusted Count | 188 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 14 | 18 | 15 | 13 | 28 | 20 | 26 | 21 |
| | Adjusted Count % Hispanic | 157 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 25 | 18 | 17 | 17 |
| | Unadjusted | 35.6 | 16.7 | 36.4 | 20.0 | 42.9 | 61.1 | 40.0 | 30.8 | 46.4 | 20.0 | 30.8 | 33.3 |
| | Adjusted | 41.4 | 20.0 | 36.4 | 20.0 | 66.7 | 71.4 | 42.9 | 33.3 | 48.0 | 22.2 | 47.1 | 41.2 |
| | % Hispanic County | 80.9 | 83.3 | 81.8 | 90.0 | 78.6 | 88.9 | 73.3 | 61.5 | 78.6 | 90.0 | 76.9 | 85.7 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Moderately | Unadjusted Count | 226 | 22 | 9 | 21 | 22 | 27 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 14 | 28 |
| | Adjusted Count | 194 | 22 | 9 | 20 | 18 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 15 | 10 | 24 |
| | % Hispanic Unadjusted | 10.6 | 4.5 | 11.1 | 14.3 | 9.1 | 11.1 | 4.5 | 9.5 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 7.1 | 17.9 |
| | Adjusted | 12.4 | 4.5 | 11.1 | 15.0 | 11.1 | 15.0 | 5.3 | 11.1 | 15.8 | 13.3 | 10.0 | 20.8 |
| | % Hispanic County | 74.3 | 77.3 | 100.0 | 76.2 | 77.3 | 70.4 | 68.2 | 81.0 | 80.0 | 65.0 | 78.6 | 64.3 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| | • | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Occasionally | Unadjusted Count | 509 | 43 | 57 | 42 | 58 | 39 | 46 | 38 | 39 | 54 | 43 | 50 |
| | Adjusted Count | 474 | 42 | 55 | 39 | 53 | 35 | 39 | 36 | 37 | 51 | 40 | 47 |
| | % Hispanic | 2.5 | 2.2 | <i>5</i> 2 | 0.0 | <i>5</i> 2 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 7.0 | 2.6 | 7.4 | 2.2 | 0.0 |
| | Unadjusted | 3.5 | 2.3 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 7.9 | 2.6 | 7.4 | 2.3 | 0.0 |
| | Adjusted | 3.6 72.3 | 2.4 55.8 | 5.5 73.7 | 0.0 78.6 | 5.7 70.7 | 0.0 79.5 | 5.1 78.3 | 8.3 55.3 | 2.7 82.1 | 5.9 74.1 | 2.5 72.1 | 0.0 74.0 |
| | % Hispanic County% Names per Year | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| | 70 Ivanies per Tear | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | | | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Rarely | Unadjusted Count | 63,592 61,773 | 5,506 5,383 | 5,487 5,365 | 5,655 5,532 | 5,671 5,467 | 5,863 5,665 | 5,946 5,767 | 5,902 5,693 | 5,970 5,788 | 5,896 5,724 | 5,893 5,735 | 5,803 5,654 |
| | Adjusted Count % Hispanic | 01,773 | 3,363 | 3,303 | 3,332 | 3,407 | 3,003 | 3,707 | 3,093 | 3,700 | 3,724 | 3,733 | 3,034 |
| | Unadjusted | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| | Adjusted | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| | % Hispanic County | 58.1 | 59.2 | 58.4 | 58.3 | 59.2 | 58.3 | 57.4 | 57.0 | 57.7 | 57.8 | 58.2 | 58.2 |
| | % Names per Year | 42.6 | 42.0 | 42.2 | 42.4 | 42.2 | 43.1 | 43.5 | 42.9 | 42.6 | 42.3 | 42.4 | 42.8 |
| No Match | Unadjusted Count | 82,452 | | | | | | | | | | | 7,404 |
| | Adjusted Count % Hispanic | 76,645 | 7,214 | 7,122 | 7,282 | 6,797 | 6,753 | 6,761 | 6,900 | 6,976 | 7,026 | 7,010 | 6,804 |
| | Unadjusted | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| | Adjusted | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| | % Hispanic County | 64.4 | 64.8 | 64.8 | 64.2 | 64.9 | 65.3 | 63.7 | 64.7 | 63.8 | 63.7 | 64.7 | 63.6 |
| | % Names per Year | 55.2 | 56.1 | 55.9 | 55.6 | 55.7 | 54.9 | 54.4 | 54.9 | 54.8 | 55.1 | 55.2 | 54.6 |

44

Table 14 (continued)
Selected Summary Statistics for Last Names of Males and Never Married Females by
Collapsed Census Schematic Codes, Illinois, 1986-1996

| Category | | 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1993 | 1996 |
|------------|------------------|----------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Adjustment | Count | 7,801 | 275 | 275 | 273 | 918 | 942 | 876 | 879 | 903 | 837 | 851 | 772 |
| Exclusion | % Hispanic | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| | % Names per Year | 5.2 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 5.7 |
| TC 4.1 | | 1.40.201 | 10 117 | 12.016 | 10 0 47 | 12 440 | 10 (17 | 10 (70 | 10.760 | 1 4 000 | 12.027 | 12.007 | 12.564 |
| Total | Count | 149,391 | 13,117 | 13,016 | 13,347 | 13,448 | 13,617 | 13,678 | 13,762 | 14,008 | 13,927 | 13,907 | 13,564 |

Source: Illinois Master Death Tapes 1986 to 1996

Table 15
Selected Summary Statistics for Maiden Names of Ever Married Females by
Collapsed Census Schematic Codes, Illinois, 1986-1996

| | Sciected S | Collapse | | | | | | | | nes by | | | |
|--------------|-------------------|----------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| Category | | 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1993 | 1996 |
| Heavily | Unadjusted Count | 1,605 | 105 | 111 | 126 | 129 | 133 | 157 | 139 | 169 | 162 | 184 | 190 |
| | Adjusted Count | 1,538 | 102 | 110 | 124 | 125 | 127 | 147 | 129 | 160 | 153 | 180 | 181 |
| | % Hispanic | , | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unadjusted | 79.9 | 73.3 | 80.2 | 69.8 | 81.4 | 76.7 | 81.5 | 84.2 | 87.0 | 80.2 | 76.6 | 83.2 |
| | Adjusted | 83.0 | 75.5 | 80.9 | 71.0 | 84.0 | 80.3 | 85.7 | 89.1 | 91.3 | 85.0 | 78.3 | 87.3 |
| | % Hispanic County | 91.1 | 87.2 | 91.7 | 93.0 | 93.1 | 90.7 | 90.9 | 92.1 | 91.1 | 91.4 | 88.2 | 92.5 |
| | % Names per Year | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| | • | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Generally | Unadjusted Count | 123 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 14 | 17 | 10 | 12 | 7 | 15 |
| • | Adjusted Count | 107 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 15 | 7 | 10 | 5 | 11 |
| | % Hispanic | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unadjusted | 33.3 | 33.3 | 54.5 | 30.0 | 22.2 | 55.6 | 35.7 | 35.3 | 10.0 | 41.7 | 28.6 | 20.0 |
| | Adjusted | 38.3 | 33.3 | 54.5 | 30.0 | 22.2 | 55.6 | 45.5 | 40.0 | 14.3 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 27.3 |
| | % Hispanic County | 87.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 87.5 | 90.9 | 81.8 | 75.0 | 77.8 | 90.0 | 79.2 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Moderately | Unadjusted Count | 207 | 18 | 13 | 24 | 8 | 22 | 20 | 13 | 13 | 24 | 26 | 26 |
| | Adjusted Count | 182 | 18 | 12 | 23 | 7 | 19 | 18 | 12 | 10 | 20 | 22 | 21 |
| | % Hispanic | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unadjusted | 7.7 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 8.3 | 7.7 | 3.8 |
| | Adjusted | 8.8 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 17.4 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 9.1 | 4.8 |
| | % Hispanic County | 91.3 | 90.5 | 91.0 | 94.4 | 93.0 | 95.5 | 90.4 | 91.4 | 91.1 | 88.3 | 90.2 | 90.5 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Occasionally | Unadjusted Count | 356 | 31 | 29 | 29 | 22 | 43 | 41 | 26 | 41 | 28 | 29 | 37 |
| | Adjusted Count | 333 | 31 | 27 | 29 | 20 | 37 | 40 | 25 | 36 | 26 | 28 | 34 |
| | % Hispanic | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unadjusted | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 2.7 |
| | Adjusted | 2.7 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 2.9 |
| | % Hispanic County | 74.2 | 71.0 | 82.8 | 72.4 | 63.6 | 72.1 | 73.2 | 69.2 | 78.0 | 57.1 | 86.2 | 83.8 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Rarely | Unadjusted Count | 46,484 | 3,840 | 4,014 | 3,930 | 4,183 | 4,236 | 4,222 | 4,351 | 4,513 | 4,390 | 4,385 | 4,420 |
| 1441 015 | Adjusted Count | 45,133 | 3,752 | 3,917 | 3,840 | 4,063 | 4,102 | 4,085 | 4,210 | 4,373 | 4,255 | 4,243 | 4,293 |
| | % Hispanic | .0,100 | 0,702 | 0,,,1, | 2,0.0 | .,000 | .,102 | .,000 | .,_10 | .,070 | .,200 | ., | .,_, |
| | Unadjusted | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| | Adjusted | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| | % Hispanic County | 56.6 | 58.4 | 57.4 | 58.0 | 57.4 | 57.6 | 55.4 | 55.7 | 57.0 | 54.9 | 55.2 | 55.9 |
| | % Names per Year | 39.4 | 38.3 | 39.0 | 38.5 | 39.9 | 39.2 | 39.5 | 40.2 | 40.1 | 39.4 | 39.5 | 39.8 |
| | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No Match | Unadjusted Count | 65,344 | | | | | | | | | 6,301 | 6,252 | 6,210 |
| | Adjusted Count | 60,605 | 5,256 | 5,334 | 5,271 | 5,329 | 5,536 | 5,519 | 5,498 | 5,695 | 5,754 | 5,725 | 5,688 |
| | % Hispanic | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unadjusted | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| | Adjusted | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| | % Hispanic County | 65.1 | 66.1 | 65.7 | 65.4 | 66.0 | 64.9 | 65.5 | 65.7 | 64.8 | 64.9 | 63.8 | 64.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 55.4 | 53.6 | 53.1 | 52.8 | 56.3 | 56.6 | 56.2 | 56.0 | 55.5 | 56.5 | 56.3 | 55.9 |
| | • | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 15 (continued)
Selected Summary Statistics for Maiden Names of Ever Married Females by
Collapsed Census Schematic Codes, Illinois, 1986-1996

| Category | | 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1993 | 1996 |
|-------------------------|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Missing | Unadjusted Count Adjusted Count % Hispanic | 3,844 3,453 | 653 640 | 650 637 | 698 682 | 232 188 | 251 207 | 229 189 | 223 173 | 254 204 | 231 184 | 212 169 | 211 180 |
| | Unadjusted Adjusted % Hispanic County % Names per Year | 2.0 2.1 70.0 3.3 | 0.5 0.5 56.7 6.5 | 0.5 0.5 54.5 6.3 | 0.9 0.9 57.3 6.8 | 2.6 3.2 85.3 2.2 | 2.8 3.4 86.5 2.3 | 2.6 2.6 83.8 2.1 | 4.5 5.8 85.7 2.1 | 3.1 3.9 85.4 2.3 | 5.2 6.5 83.5 2.1 | 2.4 3.0 82.5 1.9 | 4.3 5.0 86.3 1.9 |
| Adjustment Exclusion | Count % Hispanic % Names per Year | 6,612 0.3 5.6 | 230 0.0 2.3 | 236 0.0 2.3 | 235 0.0 2.3 | 738 0.4 7.0 | 780 0.4 7.2 | 690 0.7 6.4 | 773 0.4 7.1 | 760 0.1 6.8 | 746 0.4 6.7 | 723 0.3 6.5 | 701 0.1 6.3 |
| Total | Count | 117,963 | 10,038 | 10,284 | 10,214 | 10,479 | 10,817 | 10,699 | 10,835 | 11,245 | 11,148 | 11,095 | 11,109 |

Table 16
Selected Summary Statistics for Last Names of Males and Never Married Females by
Census Spanish Surname Schematic Codes Illinois Cancer Deaths 1986-1996

| | Census Sp | nary Stat anish Sui | | | | | | | | | з Бу | | |
|----------|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Category | census sp | 1986-96 | | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| 101 | count % Hispanic % Hispanic County % Names per Year | 98 28.6 82.7 0.1 | 11 27.3 63.6 0.1 | 8 12.5 75.0 0.1 | 9 33.3 100.0 0.1 | 11 27.3 81.8 0.1 | 7 14.3 100.0 0.1 | 4 25.0 75.0 0.0 | 14 28.6 78.6 0.1 | 6 50.0 100.0 0.0 | 7 42.9 85.7 0.1 | 11 27.3 72.7 0.1 | 10 30.0 90.0 0.1 |
| 102 | count % Hispanic % Hispanic County % Names per Year | 90 65.6 96.7 0.1 | 3 66.7 100.0 0.0 | 6 16.7 66.7 0.0 | 6 50.0 100.0 0.0 | 7 100.0 100.0 0.1 | 5 100.0 100.0 0.0 | 5 80.0 100.0 0.0 | 14 64.3 100.0 0.1 | 8 75.0 100.0 0.1 | 12 75.0 100.0 0.1 | 13 69.2 100.0 0.1 | 11 36.4 90.9 0.1 |
| 105 | count % Hispanic % Hispanic County % Names per Year | 66 72.7 90.9 0.0 | 3 100.0 100.0 0.0 | 5 80.0 80.0 0.0 | 3 66.7 100.0 0.0 | 5 80.0 80.0 0.0 | 6 50.0 83.3 0.0 | 7 71.4 100.0 0.1 | 5 60.0 100.0 0.0 | 11 72.7 90.9 0.1 | 8 62.5 87.5 0.1 | 6 66.7 83.3 0.0 | 7 100.0 100.0 0.1 |
| 110 | count % Hispanic % Hispanic County % Names per Year | 61 70.5 86.9 0.0 | 6 50.0 83.3 0.0 | 2 0.0 50.0 0.0 | 6 66.7 66.7 0.0 | 11 90.9 90.9 0.1 | 7 71.4 100.0 0.1 | 4 75.0 100.0 0.0 | 2 100.0 100.0 0.0 | 1 100.0 100.0 0.0 | 7 57.1 85.7 0.1 | 8 62.5 87.5 0.1 | 7 85.7 85.7 0.1 |
| 115 | count % Hispanic % Hispanic County % Names per Year | 88 77.3 92.0 0.1 | 8 75.0 75.0 0.1 | 2 100.0 50.0 0.0 | 6 83.3 100.0 0.0 | 4 75.0 100.0 0.0 | 10 80.0 90.0 0.1 | 4 75.0 75.0 0.0 | 4 100.0 100.0 0.0 | 21 85.7 100.0 0.1 | 7 85.7 71.4 0.1 | 8 75.0 100.0 0.1 | 14 50.0 100.0 0.1 |
| 125 | count % Hispanic % Hispanic County % Names per Year | 2,021 83.0 92.3 1.4 | 143 72.0 92.3 1.1 | 159 81.1 91.8 1.2 | 163 68.7 92.0 1.2 | 151 85.4 92.7 1.1 | 164 87.2 87.8 1.2 | 184 81.0 92.9 1.3 | 187 85.6 93.6 1.4 | 222 88.7 93.7 1.6 | 229 86.5 91.3 1.6 | 210 83.8 93.3 1.5 | 209 87.1 92.8 1.5 |
| 201 | count % Hispanic % Hispanic County % Names per Year | 7 42.9 85.7 0.0 | 0 0.0 0.0 | 0 0.0 0.0 | 0 0.0 0.0 | 0 0.0 0.0 | 2 100.0 100.0 0.0 | 1 0.0 100.0 0.0 | 1 0.0 100.0 0.0 | 1 100.0 100.0 0.0 | 1 0.0 0.0 0.0 | 0 0.0 0.0 | 1 0.0 100.0 0.0 |
| 202 | count % Hispanic % Hispanic County % Names per Year | 22 18.2 77.3 0.0 | 2 0.0 100.0 0.0 | 1 0.0 100.0 0.0 | 1 0.0 100.0 0.0 | 1 0.0 100.0 0.0 | 1 100.0 100.0 0.0 | 0 0.0 0.0 | 2 50.0 50.0 0.0 | 4 25.0 75.0 0.0 | 2 0.0 50.0 0.0 | 4 0.0 50.0 0.0 | 4 25.0 100.0 0.0 |
| 205 | count % Hispanic % Hispanic County % Names per Year | 35 37.1 80.0 0.0 | 1 0.0 100.0 0.0 | 2 0.0 100.0 0.0 | 1 100.0 100.0 0.0 | 3 33.3 66.7 0.0 | 2 0.0 50.0 0.0 | 4 50.0 100.0 0.0 | 2 100.0 100.0 0.0 | 8 50.0 75.0 0.1 | 2 50.0 100.0 0.0 | 6 33.3 66.7 0.0 | 4 0.0 75.0 0.0 |
| 210 | count % Hispanic % Hispanic County % Names per Year | 15 33.3 80.0 0.0 | 1 0.0 100.0 0.0 | 1 0.0 100.0 0.0 | 0 0.0 0.0 | 2 0.0 100.0 0.0 | 0 0.0 0.0 | 3 66.7 66.7 0.0 | 2 0.0 50.0 0.0 | 2 100.0 100.0 0.0 | 2 0.0 100.0 0.0 | 1 100.0 100.0 0.0 | 1 0.0 0.0 0.0 |
| 215 | count % Hispanic % Hispanic County % Names per Year | 17 52.9 82.4 0.0 | 1 0.0 0.0 0.0 | 4 50.0 50.0 0.0 | 50.0 100.0 0.0 | 1 100.0 100.0 0.0 | 5 60.0 100.0 0.0 | 50.0 100.0 0.0 | 0 0.0 0.0 | 0 0.0 0.0 | 0 0.0 0.0 | 50.0 100.0 0.0 | 0 0 0.0 0.0 |

Table 16 (continued)
Selected Summary Statistics for Last Names of Males and Never Married Females by
Census Spanish Surname Schematic Codes, Illinois Cancer Deaths1986-1996

| | Census S ₁ | panish Su | rname | Schema | tic Cod | es, Illin | ois Can | cer De | aths198 | 6-1996 | | | |
|----------|-----------------------|-----------|-------|--------|---------|-----------|---------|--------|---------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| Category | • | 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| 225 | count | 92 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 11 |
| | % Hispanic | 35.9 | 28.6 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 62.5 | 20.0 | 16.7 | 38.5 | 23.1 | 30.8 | 54.5 |
| | % Hispanic County | 81.5 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 83.3 | 71.4 | 87.5 | 40.0 | 50.0 | 76.9 | 100.0 | 84.6 | 90.9 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| | 70 Ivallies per Teal | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 301 | count | 91 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 12 |
| 301 | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 12.5 | 0.0 | 16.7 |
| | % Hispanic | 6.6 | | | | | | | | 28.6 | | | |
| | % Hispanic County | 69.2 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 80.0 | 72.7 | 66.7 | 75.0 | 57.1 | 50.0 | 87.5 | 50.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 302 | count | 55 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| 302 | | 10.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 16.7 | 10.0 | 12.5 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 |
| | % Hispanic | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | % Hispanic County | 80.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 80.0 | 66.7 | 70.0 | 87.5 | 85.7 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 305 | count | 44 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| 203 | % Hispanic | 13.6 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 |
| | % Hispanic County | 84.1 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 83.3 | 100.0 | 83.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 80.0 | 50.0 | 71.4 |
| | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 310 | count | 15 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| | % Hispanic | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 73.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | 70 Ivanies per Tear | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 315 | count | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | % Hispanic | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | 70 Traines per Tear | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 325 | count | 18 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | % Hispanic | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 61.1 | 100.0 | 0 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 405 | count | 207 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 27 | 18 | 22 | 13 | 15 | 18 | 15 | 20 |
| | % Hispanic | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 79.7 | 76.2 | 80.0 | 94.4 | 70.4 | 94.4 | 86.4 | 46.2 | 86.7 | 72.2 | 66.7 | 95.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 410 | | 101 | 0 | 1.1 | 10 | 10 | 7 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 17 |
| 410 | count | 101 | 8 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 17 |
| | % Hispanic | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 68.3 | 37.5 | 81.8 | 50.0 | 70.0 | 71.4 | 83.3 | 66.7 | 75.0 | 87.5 | 71.4 | 64.7 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 415 | count | 136 | 9 | 16 | 9 | 14 | 9 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 19 | 13 | 9 |
| 413 | | 5.1 | | 18.8 | | 7.1 | | 0.0 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 5.3 | 0.0 | |
| | % Hispanic | | 0.0 | | 0.0 | | 0.0 | | | | | | 0.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 64.0 | 33.3 | 50.0 | 88.9 | 71.4 | 77.8 | 58.3 | 46.2 | 76.9 | 68.4 | 69.2 | 66.7 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 425 | count | 65 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 8 | 4 |
| | % Hispanic | 7.7 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 12.5 | 0.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 72.3 | 40.0 | 90.0 | 60.0 | 71.4 | 40.0 | 83.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 77.8 | 87.5 | 25.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| | 70 Italies per Teal | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

Table 16 (continued)
Selected Summary Statistics for Last Names of Males and Never Married Females by
Census Spanish Surname Schematic Codes, Illinois Cancer Deaths1986-1996

| | Census S _l | panish Su | ırname | Schema | atic Coo | des, Illii | nois Cai | ncer De | aths198 | 36-1996 | | | |
|-------------|-----------------------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| Category | | 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| 5001 | count | 426 | 37 | 44 | 39 | 31 | 33 | 35 | 48 | 45 | 40 | 36 | 38 |
| | % Hispanic | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 5.3 |
| | % Hispanic County | 68.5 | 81.1 | 72.7 | 61.5 | 83.9 | 69.7 | 68.6 | 58.3 | 57.8 | 72.5 | 63.9 | 71.1 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5005 | count | 546 | 53 | 46 | 52 | 39 | 42 | 56 | 51 | 57 | 45 | | 54 |
| | % Hispanic | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 1.9 |
| | % Hispanic County | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 65.4 | 66.7 | 90.5 | 69.6 | 54.9 | 64.9 | 62.2 | 58.8 | 66.7 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 5010 | count | 1,317 | 125 | 109 | 119 | 129 | 125 | 121 | 120 | 119 | 113 | 116 | 121 |
| 2010 | % Hispanic | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 66.4 | 73.6 | 65.1 | 68.1 | 58.1 | 68.8 | 66.9 | 67.5 | 65.5 | 62.8 | | 66.1 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| | 70 Traines per Tear | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0., | 0., | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| 5025 | count | 5,220 | 457 | 439 | 517 | 477 | 464 | 469 | 480 | 479 | 450 | 490 | 498 |
| | % Hispanic | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 58.6 | 60.6 | 57.9 | 57.1 | 58.1 | 58.8 | 57.6 | 57.5 | 58.7 | 62.9 | 56.7 | 58.8 |
| | % Names per Year | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.7 |
| 5100 | count | 17,613 | 1,490 | 1,512 | 1,514 | 1,564 | 1,642 | 1,696 | 1,614 | 1,644 | 1,656 | 1,627 | 1,654 |
| | % Hispanic | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | , | 0.1 |
| | % Hispanic County | 56.8 | 57.9 | 58.0 | 57.2 | 58.9 | 57.0 | 55.7 | 55.3 | 56.6 | 56.2 | | 55.9 |
| | % Names per Year | 11.8 | 11.4 | 11.6 | 11.3 | 11.6 | 12.1 | 12.4 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 11.9 | | 12.2 |
| | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5500 | count | 38,470 | 3,344 | 3,337 | 3,414 | 3,431 | 3,557 | 3,569 | 3,589 | 3,626 | 3,592 | 3,573 | 3,438 |
| | % Hispanic | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| | % Hispanic County | 58.2 | 58.7 | 58.1 | 58.5 | 59.3 | 58.0 | 57.6 | 57.3 | 57.7 | 57.4 | 58.9 | 58.6 |
| | % Names per Year | 25.8 | 25.5 | 25.6 | 25.6 | 25.5 | 26.1 | 26.1 | 26.1 | 25.9 | 25.8 | 25.7 | 25.3 |
| No Match | count | 82,452 | 7,360 | 7,270 | 7,426 | 7,494 | 7,471 | 7,441 | 7,562 | 7,682 | 7,667 | 7,675 | 7,404 |
| 1 to Matter | % Hispanic | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| | % Hispanic County | 64.4 | 64.8 | 64.8 | 64.2 | 64.9 | 65.3 | 63.7 | 64.7 | 63.8 | 63.7 | 64.7 | 63.6 |
| | % Names per Year | 55.2 | 56.1 | 55.9 | 55.6 | 55.7 | 54.9 | 54.4 | 54.9 | 54.8 | 55.1 | 55.2 | 54.6 |
| | , o realites per real | 33.2 | 50.1 | 55.7 | 55.0 | 55.7 | 54.7 | 54.4 | 54.7 | 54.0 | 55.1 | 33.2 | 54.0 |
| Total | count | 149,391 | 13,117 | 13,016 | 13,347 | 13,448 | 13,617 | 13,678 | 13,762 | 14,008 | 13,927 | 13,907 | 13,564 |

Table 17
Selected Summary Statistics for Maiden Names of Ever Married Females by
Census Schematic Codes, Illinois Cancer Deaths, 1986-1996

| | Sciected | Census Sc | hematic | | | | | | | aics by | | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|---------|-------------|-------|--------------|
| Category | | 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| 101 | count | 73 | 6 | 4 | 11 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 4 |
| | % Hispanic | 30.1 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 45.5 | 12.5 | 33.3 | 11.1 | 25.0 | 40.0 | 25.0 | 11.1 | 50.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 86.3 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 90.9 | 100.0 | 88.9 | 88.9 | 50.0 | 60.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 102 | count | 67 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 1 |
| | % Hispanic | 62.7 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 58.3 | 57.1 | 60.0 | 83.3 | 71.4 | 83.3 | 66.7 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 82.1 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 83.3 | 85.7 | 80.0 | 83.3 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 77.8 | 75.0 | 0.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 105 | count | 53 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 8 |
| | % Hispanic | 67.9 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 50.0 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 62.5 | 87.5 |
| | % Hispanic County | 90.6 | 75.0 | 60.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| | | • | | | | | | | _ | | | _ | _ |
| 110 | count | 29 | 2 | 2 | 50.0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 5 |
| | % Hispanic | 69.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 40.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 82.8 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 60.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 115 | count | 59 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 1 |
| 113 | % Hispanic | 81.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 83.3 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 83.3 | 55.6 | 100.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 88.1 | 0.0 | 90.0 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 87.5 | 100.0 | 83.3 | 77.8 | 100.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| | 70 Traines per Tear | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 125 | count | 1,324 | 89 | 84 | 95 | 99 | 109 | 132 | 115 | 145 | 138 | 147 | 171 |
| | % Hispanic | 84.1 | 77.5 | 85.7 | 74.7 | 89.9 | 80.7 | 86.4 | 85.2 | 90.3 | 81.9 | 83.7 | 85.4 |
| | % Hispanic County | 92.4 | 91.0 | 96.4 | 95.8 | 92.9 | 96.3 | 91.7 | 93.0 | 91.0 | 88.4 | 91.2 | 92.4 |
| | % Names per Year | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 201 | count | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | % Hispanic | 75.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 202 | | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | | 2 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 202 | count | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| | % Hispanic | 18.2 | 100.0 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 100.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 77.3 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 0.1 | 100.0 | 66.7 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 205 | count | 17 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 203 | % Hispanic | 35.3 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 82.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 210 | count | 15 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| | % Hispanic | 6.7 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 73.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 215 | count | 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| | % Hispanic | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 75.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 17 (continued)
Selected Summary Statistics for Maiden Names of Ever Married Females by
Census Schematic Codes, Illinois Cancer Deaths, 1986-1996

| | (| Census Sc | hematic | Codes, | Illinois | s Cance | r Death | s, 1986 | -1996 | | | | |
|----------|----------------------|-----------|---------|--------|----------|---------|---------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Category | | 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| 225 | count | 53 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 6 |
| | % Hispanic | 39.6 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 57.1 | 25.0 | 40.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 |
| | % Hispanic County | 86.8 | 75.0 | 85.7 | 83.3 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 83.3 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| | 70 Ivallies per Tear | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 301 | count | 60 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 4 |
| 301 | % Hispanic | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | 66.7 | | | | |
| | % Hispanic County | 76.7 | 100.0 | 83.3 | 37.5 | 100.0 | 83.3 | 57.1 | | 0.0 | 83.3 | 90.0 | 100.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 302 | count | 57 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| 202 | % Hispanic | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 71.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 90.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 57.1 | 62.5 | 75.0 |
| | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 02.3 | 0.1 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 305 | count | 36 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| | % Hispanic | 11.1 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 83.3 | 83.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 33.3 | 71.4 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| | 70 Traines per Tear | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 310 | count | 20 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| | % Hispanic | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 75.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 60.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | F | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 315 | count | 8 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| | % Hispanic | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 87.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 225 | | 26 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | | 2 | 2 |
| 325 | count | 26 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| | % Hispanic | 11.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 61.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 405 | count | 120 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 4 | 13 | 13 | 7 | 15 | 8 | 13 | 15 |
| 403 | % Hispanic | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 70.0 | | 66.7 | 75.0 | 84.6 | 76.9 | 85.7 | 86.7 | 62.5 | 100.0 | 86.7 |
| | % Hispanic County | 81.7 | | 90.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| | % Names per Year | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 410 | count | 66 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 14 | 9 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| | % Hispanic | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 71.2 | 57.1 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 71.4 | 66.7 | 66.7 | 80.0 | 50.0 | 75.0 | 50.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | 70 Ivanies per Tear | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 415 | count | 123 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 9 | 18 |
| | % Hispanic | 4.1 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 11.1 | 5.6 |
| | % Hispanic County | 74.0 | 81.8 | 81.8 | 88.9 | 57.1 | 75.0 | 72.7 | 58.3 | 63.6 | 75.0 | 66.7 | 83.3 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 425 | count | 47 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| | % Hispanic | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 59.6 | 66.7 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 80.0 | 16.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 17 (continued)
Selected Summary Statistics for Maiden Names of Ever Married Females by
Census Schematic Codes, Illinois Cancer Deaths, 1986-1996

| | (| Census Sc | | | | | er Deatl | hs, 1986 | -1996 | | | | |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|--------|
| Category | | 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| 5001 | count | 342 | 33 | 24 | 33 | 30 | 29 | 39 | 34 | 34 | 26 | 30 | 30 |
| | % Hispanic | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 72.5 | 72.7 | 79.2 | 69.7 | 83.3 | 79.3 | 53.8 | 82.4 | 64.7 | 80.8 | 66.7 | 73.3 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5005 | count | 395 | 26 | 34 | 27 | 43 | 26 | 46 | 30 | 36 | 46 | 46 | 35 |
| | % Hispanic | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 65.8 | 50.0 | 73.5 | 55.6 | 69.8 | 65.4 | 63.0 | 70.0 | 61.1 | 65.2 | 69.6 | 74.3 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| | • | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5010 | count | 911 | 72 | 62 | 93 | 75 | 72 | 86 | 86 | 98 | 83 | 81 | 103 |
| | % Hispanic | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | % Hispanic County | 65.5 | 65.3 | 62.9 | 67.7 | 66.7 | 75.0 | 65.1 | 66.3 | 66.3 | 59.0 | 55.6 | 69.9 |
| | % Names per Year | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5025 | count | 3,950 | 296 | 328 | 311 | 339 | 392 | 350 | 390 | 391 | 407 | 375 | 371 |
| | % Hispanic | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| | % Hispanic County | 57.9 | 59.1 | 55.5 | 57.9 | 59.3 | 61.2 | 56.0 | 57.2 | 59.6 | 54.1 | 59.7 | 57.7 |
| | % Names per Year | 3.3 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 3.3 |
| | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5100 | count | 12,997 | 1,066 | 1,151 | 1,130 | 1,164 | 1,179 | 1,167 | 1,209 | 1,233 | 1,234 | 1,253 | 1,211 |
| 0100 | % Hispanic | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| | % Hispanic County | 55.9 | 59.5 | 55.9 | 58.2 | 55.8 | 55.7 | 54.3 | 55.8 | 56.4 | 54.0 | 53.5 | 56.2 |
| | % Names per Year | 11.0 | 10.6 | 11.2 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 10.9 | 10.9 | 11.2 | 11.0 | 11.1 | 11.3 | 10.9 |
| | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5500 | count | 27,889 | 2,347 | 2,415 | 2,336 | 2,532 | 2,538 | 2,534 | 2,602 | 2,721 | 2,594 | 2,600 | 2,670 |
| 2200 | % Hispanic | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| | % Hispanic County | 56.1 | 57.6 | 57.8 | 57.4 | 57.0 | 57.1 | 55.4 | 54.5 | 56.4 | 54.9 | 54.9 | 54.6 |
| | % Names per Year | 23.6 | 23.4 | 23.5 | 22.9 | 24.2 | 23.5 | 23.7 | 24.0 | 24.2 | 23.3 | 23.4 | 24.0 |
| | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No Match | count | 65,344 | 5,382 | 5,456 | 5,397 | 5,896 | 6,123 | 6,016 | 6,066 | 6,245 | 6,301 | 6,252 | 6,210 |
| 1 to iviateli | % Hispanic | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| | % Hispanic County | 65.1 | 66.1 | 65.7 | 65.4 | 66.0 | 64.9 | 65.5 | 65.7 | 64.8 | 64.9 | 63.8 | 64.0 |
| | % Names per Year | 55.4 | 53.6 | 53.1 | 52.8 | 56.3 | 56.6 | 56.2 | 56.0 | 55.5 | 56.5 | 56.3 | 55.9 |
| | 70 Traines per Tear | 55.1 | 55.0 | 55.1 | 32.0 | 50.5 | 50.0 | 30.2 | 50.0 | 55.5 | 50.5 | 50.5 | 55.7 |
| Missing | count | 3,844 | 653 | 650 | 698 | 232 | 251 | 229 | 223 | 254 | 231 | 212 | 211 |
| Masing | % Hispanic | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 2.4 | 4.3 |
| | % Hispanic County | 70.0 | 56.7 | 54.5 | 57.3 | 85.3 | 86.5 | 83.8 | 85.7 | 85.4 | 83.5 | 82.5 | 86.3 |
| | % Names per Year | 3.3 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| | 70 Ivanies per Tear | 5.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Total | count | 117,963 | 10.038 | 10.284 | 10 214 | 10.470 | 10.817 | 10 600 | 10 835 | 11 2/15 | 11 1/19 | 11 005 | 11 100 |
| างเลา | Count | 117,503 | 10,036 | 10,204 | 10,214 | 10,479 | 10,017 | 10,033 | 10,655 | 11,243 | 11,140 | 11,093 | 11,109 |

Table 18
Distribution of Last and Maiden Names of Cancer Decedents Born in non-U.S. Birthplaces by Collapsed Census Spanish Surname Category, Illinois Cancer Deaths, 1986-1996

| | 1 | 986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
|--------------|-----|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Category | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Heavily | No. | 2,354 | 139 | 162 | 159 | 178 | 194 | 205 | 224 | 277 | 267 | 263 | 286 |
| | % | 81.2 | 87.4 | 83.5 | 82.4 | 79.1 | 78.5 | 78.5 | 78.9 | 82.7 | 82.7 | 79.9 | 82.2 |
| Generally | No. | 82 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 10 |
| · | % | 2.8 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 2.9 |
| Moderately | No. | 37 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 |
| | % | 1.3 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.4 |
| Occasionally | No. | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| | % | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Rarely | No. | 81 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 11 | 9 |
| | % | 2.8 | 0.6 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 2.6 |
| No Match | No. | 267 | 10 | 13 | 18 | 23 | 19 | 33 | 30 | 30 | 25 | 37 | 29 |
| | % | 9.2 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 9.3 | 10.2 | 7.7 | 12.6 | 10.6 | 9.0 | 7.7 | 11.2 | 8.3 |
| Missing | No. | 62 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 8 |
| 0 | % | 2.1 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.3 |
| Total | No. | 2,898 | 159 | 194 | 193 | 225 | 247 | 261 | 284 | 335 | 323 | 329 | 348 |

Table 19
Distribution of Last and Maiden Names by Collapsed Census Spanish
Surname Category for American Indian, Filipino and Hawaiian Decedents
Illinois Cancer Deaths, 1986-1996

| Category | | All Three Races | American Indian | Filipino | Hawaiian |
|--------------|-----|--------------------|--------------------|----------|----------|
| Heavily | No. | 55 | 6 | 42 | 7 |
| · | % | 20.7 | 9.2 | 24.3 | 25.0 |
| Generally | No. | 13 | 1 | 11 | 1 |
| · | % | 4.9 | 1.5 | 6.4 | 3.6 |
| Moderately | No. | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| • | % | 4.1 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 0.0 |
| Occasionally | No. | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| · | % | 0.8 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| Rarely | No. | 60 | 32 | 22 | 6 |
| · | % | 22.6 | 49.2 | 12.7 | 21.4 |
| No Match | No. | 119 | 26 | 79 | 14 |
| | % | 44.7 | 40.0 | 45.7 | 50.0 |
| Missing | No. | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 0 | % | 2.3 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| Total | No. | 266 | 65 | 173 | 28 |

Table 20 Evaluation of Hispanic Birthplace and Surname Combinations Relative to Hispanic Origin Status Illinois Cancer Deaths, 1986-1996

| | | 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
|-------------------|-----|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| All Decedents | No. | 267,499 | 23,166 | 23,311 | 23,576 | 23,937 | 24,443 | 24,388 | 24,616 | 25,263 | 25,091 | 25,015 | 24,693 |
| Hispanic BP/HH | No. | 3,914 | 228 | 272 | 260 | 329 | 325 | 373 | 373 | 450 | 442 | 414 | 448 |
| Decedents | No. | 4,020 | 280 | 299 | 322 | 322 | 332 | 361 | 365 | 436 | 424 | 436 | 443 |
| Sensitivity | % | 84.8 | 88.2 | 86.4 | 86.2 | 82.1 | 87.1 | 81.2 | 82.3 | 87.6 | 82.8 | 85.5 | 85.0 |
| PPV | % | 82.5 | 71.8 | 78.6 | 69.6 | 83.9 | 85.2 | 83.9 | 84.1 | 90.4 | 86.3 | 81.2 | 86.0 |
| Specificity | % | 99.7 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 99.6 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.7 | 99.7 |
| NPV | % | 99.8 | 99.9 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 99.8 | 99.7 | 99.8 | 99.7 |
| BP/HH/GH | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Decedents | No. | 4,202 | 295 | 313 | 341 | 333 | 344 | 378 | 386 | 457 | 443 | 451 | 461 |
| Sensitivity | % | 85.4 | 89.0 | 87.1 | 87.7 | 82.4 | 88.3 | 82.0 | 83.4 | 88.0 | 83.0 | 86.2 | 85.0 |
| PPV | % | 79.6 | 68.8 | 75.7 | 66.9 | 81.4 | 83.4 | 81.0 | 80.6 | 86.7 | 82.8 | 79.2 | 82.6 |
| Specificity | % | 99.7 | 99.6 | 99.7 | 99.5 | 99.7 | 99.8 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 99.8 | 99.7 | 99.6 | 99.7 |
| NPV | % | 99.8 | 99.9 | 99.8 | 99.9 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 99.8 | 99.7 | 99.8 | 99.7 |
| BP/HH/GH/MH | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Decedents | No. | 4,541 | 334 | 332 | 378 | 356 | 377 | 413 | 413 | 483 | 474 | 480 | 501 |
| Sensitivity | % | 85.6 | 89.0 | 87.1 | 88.1 | 82.7 | 88.3 | 82.3 | 83.4 | 88.2 | 83.3 | 86.5 | 85.5 |
| PPV | % | 73.8 | 60.8 | 71.4 | 60.6 | 76.4 | 76.1 | 74.3 | 75.3 | 82.2 | 77.6 | 74.6 | 76.4 |
| Specificity | % | 99.5 | 99.4 | 99.6 | 99.4 | 99.6 | 99.6 | 99.6 | 99.6 | 99.7 | 99.6 | 99.5 | 99.5 |
| NPV | % | 99.8 | 99.9 | 99.8 | 99.9 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 99.8 | 99.7 | 99.8 | 99.7 |
| BP/HH/GH/MH/OH | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Decedents | No. | 5,333 | 405 | 414 | 446 | 427 | 449 | 490 | 471 | 555 | 549 | 546 | 581 |
| Sensitivity | % | 85.9 | 89.0 | 88.6 | 88.1 | 83.6 | 88.3 | 82.6 | 83.6 | 88.4 | 83.7 | 86.5 | 85.5 |
| PPV | % | 63.1 | 50.1 | 58.2 | 51.3 | 64.4 | 63.9 | 62.9 | 66.2 | 71.7 | 67.4 | 65.6 | 65.9 |
| Specificity | % | 99.3 | 99.1 | 99.2 | 99.1 | 99.4 | 99.3 | 99.2 | 99.3 | 99.4 | 99.3 | 99.2 | 99.2 |
| NPV | % | 99.8 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 99.8 | 99.7 | 99.8 | 99.7 |

Abbreviations: BP Hispanic Birthplace, HH Heavily Hispanic, GH Generally Hispanic, MH Moderately Hispanic, OH Occasionally Hispanic, PPV Positive Predictive Value, NPV Negative Predictive Value

Table 21 Number and Proportion Hispanic Cancer Deaths for 14 Hispanic Identification Variables Illinois Cancer Deaths, 1986-1996

| Number Hispanic | 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| Hispanic Origin (HO) Only | 3,914 | 228 | 272 | 260 | 329 | 325 | 373 | 373 | 450 | 442 | 414 | 448 |
| HO and Birthplace (BP) | 4,032 | 233 | 277 | 264 | 345 | 334 | 387 | 385 | 455 | 453 | 433 | 466 |
| Spanish Surname Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Heavily Hispanic | 3,885 | 273 | 289 | 315 | 311 | 315 | 349 | 353 | 420 | 409 | 424 | 427 |
| and Generally Hispanic | 4,149 | 292 | 311 | 335 | 329 | 338 | 374 | 380 | 452 | 437 | 446 | 455 |
| and Moderately Hispanic | 4,525 | 332 | 332 | 378 | 354 | 377 | 411 | 410 | 481 | 472 | 478 | 500 |
| and Occasionally Hispanic | 5,332 | 405 | 414 | 446 | 427 | 449 | 490 | 471 | 554 | 549 | 546 | 581 |
| Spanish Surname and BP | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Heavily Hispanic | 4,020 | 280 | 299 | 322 | 322 | 332 | 361 | 365 | 436 | 424 | 436 | 443 |
| and Generally Hispanic | 4,202 | 295 | 313 | 341 | 333 | 344 | 378 | 386 | 457 | 443 | 451 | 461 |
| and Moderately Hispanic | 4,541 | 334 | 332 | 378 | 356 | 377 | 413 | 413 | 483 | 474 | 480 | 501 |
| and Occasionally Hispanic | 5,333 | 405 | 414 | 446 | 427 | 449 | 490 | 471 | 555 | 549 | 546 | 581 |
| HO and BP and Spanish | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surname | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Heavily Hispanic | 4,616 | 307 | 336 | 358 | 381 | 374 | 431 | 431 | 492 | 500 | 496 | 510 |
| and Generally Hispanic | 4,772 | 320 | 348 | 373 | 391 | 382 | 445 | 448 | 511 | 518 | 508 | 528 |
| and Moderately Hispanic | 5,103 | 359 | 367 | 409 | 413 | 415 | 479 | 475 | 536 | 548 | 536 | 566 |
| and Occasionally Hispanic | 5,883 | 430 | 445 | 477 | 481 | 487 | 555 | 532 | 607 | 621 | 602 | 646 |
| 7 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent Hispanic | 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Hispanic Origin (HO) Only | 1986-96 1.5 | 1986 1.0 | 1987 1.2 | 1988 1.1 | 1989 1.4 | 1990 1.3 | 1991 1.5 | 1992 1.5 | 1993 1.8 | 1994 1.8 | 1995 1.7 | 1996 1.8 |
| • | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic Origin (HO) Only | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| Hispanic Origin (HO) Only HO and Birthplace (BP) | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| Hispanic Origin (HO) Only HO and Birthplace (BP) Spanish Surname Only | 1.5 1.5 | 1.0 1.0 | 1.2 1.2 | 1.1 1.1 | 1.4 1.4 | 1.3 1.4 | 1.5 1.6 | 1.5 1.6 | 1.8 1.8 | 1.8 1.8 | 1.7 1.7 | 1.8 1.9 |
| Hispanic Origin (HO) Only HO and Birthplace (BP) Spanish Surname Only Heavily Hispanic | 1.5 1.5 | 1.0 1.0 | 1.2 1.2 | 1.1 1.1 | 1.4 1.4 | 1.3 1.4 | 1.5 1.6 | 1.5 1.6 | 1.8 1.8 | 1.8 1.8 | 1.7 1.7 | 1.8 1.9 |
| Hispanic Origin (HO) Only HO and Birthplace (BP) Spanish Surname Only Heavily Hispanic and Generally Hispanic | 1.5 1.5 1.5 | 1.0 1.0 1.2 1.3 | 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.3 | 1.1 1.1 1.3 1.4 | 1.4 1.4 1.3 1.4 | 1.3 1.4 1.3 1.4 | 1.5 1.6 1.4 1.5 | 1.5 1.6 1.4 1.5 | 1.8 1.8 1.7 1.8 | 1.8 1.8 1.6 1.7 | 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.8 | 1.8 1.9 1.7 1.8 |
| Hispanic Origin (HO) Only HO and Birthplace (BP) Spanish Surname Only Heavily Hispanic and Generally Hispanic and Moderately Hispanic | 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.7 | 1.0 1.0 1.2 1.3 1.4 | 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.4 | 1.1 1.1 1.3 1.4 1.6 | 1.4 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.5 | 1.3 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.5 | 1.5 1.6 1.4 1.5 1.7 | 1.5 1.6 1.4 1.5 1.7 | 1.8 1.8 1.7 1.8 1.9 | 1.8 1.8 1.6 1.7 1.9 | 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.8 1.9 | 1.8 1.9 1.7 1.8 2.0 |
| Hispanic Origin (HO) Only HO and Birthplace (BP) Spanish Surname Only Heavily Hispanic and Generally Hispanic and Moderately Hispanic and Occasionally Hispanic | 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.7 | 1.0 1.0 1.2 1.3 1.4 | 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.4 | 1.1 1.1 1.3 1.4 1.6 | 1.4 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.5 | 1.3 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.5 | 1.5 1.6 1.4 1.5 1.7 | 1.5 1.6 1.4 1.5 1.7 | 1.8 1.8 1.7 1.8 1.9 | 1.8 1.8 1.6 1.7 1.9 | 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.8 1.9 | 1.8 1.9 1.7 1.8 2.0 |
| Hispanic Origin (HO) Only HO and Birthplace (BP) Spanish Surname Only Heavily Hispanic and Generally Hispanic and Moderately Hispanic and Occasionally Hispanic Spanish Surname and BP | 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.7 2.0 | 1.0 1.0 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.7 | 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.8 | 1.1 1.3 1.4 1.6 1.9 | 1.4 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.8 | 1.3 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.8 | 1.5 1.6 1.4 1.5 1.7 2.0 | 1.5 1.6 1.4 1.5 1.7 1.9 | 1.8 1.8 1.7 1.8 1.9 2.2 | 1.8 1.8 1.6 1.7 1.9 2.2 | 1.7 1.7 1.8 1.9 2.2 | 1.8 1.9 1.7 1.8 2.0 2.4 |
| Hispanic Origin (HO) Only HO and Birthplace (BP) Spanish Surname Only Heavily Hispanic and Generally Hispanic and Moderately Hispanic and Occasionally Hispanic Spanish Surname and BP Heavily Hispanic | 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.7 2.0 | 1.0 1.0 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.7 | 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.8 | 1.1 1.3 1.4 1.6 1.9 | 1.4 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.8 | 1.3 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.8 | 1.5 1.6 1.4 1.5 1.7 2.0 | 1.5 1.6 1.4 1.5 1.7 1.9 | 1.8 1.8 1.7 1.8 1.9 2.2 | 1.8 1.6 1.7 1.9 2.2 | 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.8 1.9 2.2 | 1.8 1.9 1.7 1.8 2.0 2.4 |
| Hispanic Origin (HO) Only HO and Birthplace (BP) Spanish Surname Only Heavily Hispanic and Generally Hispanic and Moderately Hispanic and Occasionally Hispanic Spanish Surname and BP Heavily Hispanic and Generally Hispanic | 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.7 2.0 1.5 | 1.0 1.0 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.7 | 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.8 1.3 | 1.1 1.3 1.4 1.6 1.9 1.4 | 1.4 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.8 1.3 | 1.3 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.8 | 1.5 1.6 1.4 1.5 1.7 2.0 1.5 | 1.5 1.6 1.4 1.5 1.7 1.9 1.5 | 1.8 1.7 1.8 1.9 2.2 1.7 1.8 | 1.8 1.6 1.7 1.9 2.2 1.7 1.8 | 1.7 1.7 1.8 1.9 2.2 1.7 1.8 | 1.8 1.9 1.7 1.8 2.0 2.4 1.8 1.9 |
| Hispanic Origin (HO) Only HO and Birthplace (BP) Spanish Surname Only Heavily Hispanic and Generally Hispanic and Moderately Hispanic and Occasionally Hispanic Spanish Surname and BP Heavily Hispanic and Generally Hispanic and Moderately Hispanic | 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.7 2.0 1.5 1.6 | 1.0 1.0 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.7 1.2 1.3 1.4 | 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.8 1.3 1.3 | 1.1 1.3 1.4 1.6 1.9 1.4 1.4 | 1.4 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.8 1.3 1.4 | 1.3 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.8 1.4 1.4 | 1.5 1.6 1.4 1.5 1.7 2.0 1.5 1.5 | 1.5 1.6 1.4 1.5 1.7 1.9 1.5 1.6 1.7 | 1.8 1.7 1.8 1.9 2.2 1.7 1.8 1.9 | 1.8 1.6 1.7 1.9 2.2 1.7 1.8 1.9 | 1.7 1.7 1.8 1.9 2.2 1.7 1.8 1.9 | 1.8 1.9 1.7 1.8 2.0 2.4 1.9 2.0 |
| Hispanic Origin (HO) Only HO and Birthplace (BP) Spanish Surname Only Heavily Hispanic and Generally Hispanic and Moderately Hispanic and Occasionally Hispanic Spanish Surname and BP Heavily Hispanic and Generally Hispanic and Moderately Hispanic and Moderately Hispanic and Occasionally Hispanic | 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.7 2.0 1.5 1.6 | 1.0 1.0 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.7 1.2 1.3 1.4 | 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.8 1.3 1.3 | 1.1 1.3 1.4 1.6 1.9 1.4 1.4 | 1.4 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.8 1.3 1.4 | 1.3 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.8 1.4 1.4 | 1.5 1.6 1.4 1.5 1.7 2.0 1.5 1.5 | 1.5 1.6 1.4 1.5 1.7 1.9 1.5 1.6 1.7 | 1.8 1.7 1.8 1.9 2.2 1.7 1.8 1.9 | 1.8 1.6 1.7 1.9 2.2 1.7 1.8 1.9 | 1.7 1.7 1.8 1.9 2.2 1.7 1.8 1.9 | 1.8 1.9 1.7 1.8 2.0 2.4 1.9 2.0 |
| Hispanic Origin (HO) Only HO and Birthplace (BP) Spanish Surname Only Heavily Hispanic and Generally Hispanic and Moderately Hispanic and Occasionally Hispanic Spanish Surname and BP Heavily Hispanic and Generally Hispanic and Moderately Hispanic and Moderately Hispanic and Occasionally Hispanic And Occasionally Hispanic | 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.7 2.0 1.5 1.6 | 1.0 1.0 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.7 1.2 1.3 1.4 | 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.8 1.3 1.3 | 1.1 1.3 1.4 1.6 1.9 1.4 1.4 | 1.4 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.8 1.3 1.4 1.5 | 1.3 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.8 1.4 1.4 | 1.5 1.6 1.4 1.5 1.7 2.0 1.5 1.5 | 1.5 1.6 1.4 1.5 1.7 1.9 1.5 1.6 | 1.8 1.7 1.8 1.9 2.2 1.7 1.8 1.9 | 1.8 1.6 1.7 1.9 2.2 1.7 1.8 1.9 | 1.7 1.7 1.8 1.9 2.2 1.7 1.8 1.9 | 1.8 1.9 1.7 1.8 2.0 2.4 1.9 2.0 |
| Hispanic Origin (HO) Only HO and Birthplace (BP) Spanish Surname Only Heavily Hispanic and Generally Hispanic and Moderately Hispanic and Occasionally Hispanic Spanish Surname and BP Heavily Hispanic and Generally Hispanic and Moderately Hispanic and Moderately Hispanic and Occasionally Hispanic And Occasionally Hispanic HO and BP and Spanish Surname | 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.7 2.0 1.5 1.6 1.7 2.0 | 1.0 1.0 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.7 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.7 | 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.8 1.3 1.4 1.8 | 1.1 1.3 1.4 1.6 1.9 1.4 1.6 1.9 | 1.4 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.8 1.4 1.5 1.8 | 1.3 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.8 1.4 1.5 1.8 | 1.5 1.6 1.4 1.5 1.7 2.0 1.5 1.7 2.0 | 1.5 1.6 1.4 1.5 1.7 1.9 1.5 1.6 1.7 | 1.8 1.7 1.8 1.9 2.2 1.7 1.8 1.9 2.2 | 1.8 1.6 1.7 1.9 2.2 1.7 1.8 1.9 2.2 | 1.7 1.7 1.8 1.9 2.2 1.7 1.8 1.9 2.2 | 1.8 1.9 1.7 1.8 2.0 2.4 1.8 1.9 2.0 2.4 |
| Hispanic Origin (HO) Only HO and Birthplace (BP) Spanish Surname Only Heavily Hispanic and Generally Hispanic and Moderately Hispanic and Occasionally Hispanic Spanish Surname and BP Heavily Hispanic and Generally Hispanic and Moderately Hispanic and Moderately Hispanic and Occasionally Hispanic HO and BP and Spanish Surname Heavily Hispanic | 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.7 2.0 1.5 1.6 1.7 2.0 | 1.0 1.0 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.7 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.7 | 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.8 1.3 1.4 1.8 | 1.1 1.3 1.4 1.6 1.9 1.4 1.6 1.9 | 1.4 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.8 1.4 1.5 1.8 | 1.3 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.8 1.4 1.5 1.8 | 1.5 1.6 1.4 1.5 1.7 2.0 1.5 1.7 2.0 | 1.5 1.6 1.4 1.5 1.7 1.9 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.9 | 1.8 1.7 1.8 1.9 2.2 1.7 1.8 1.9 2.2 | 1.8 1.8 1.6 1.7 1.9 2.2 1.7 1.8 1.9 2.2 | 1.7 1.7 1.8 1.9 2.2 1.7 1.8 1.9 2.2 | 1.8 1.9 1.7 1.8 2.0 2.4 1.8 1.9 2.0 2.4 |

Denotes variables selected for further evaluation through cancer mortality rate calculation and comparisons.

Table 22
Hispanic Variable Distribution by Components
(Hispanic Origin, Hispanic non-U.S. Birthplace and Heavily Hispanic Surname)
Illinois Cancer Deaths, 1986-1996

| ALL HISPANIC DEATHS | 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| count | 4,616 | 307 | 336 | 358 | 381 | 374 | 431 | 431 | 492 | 500 | 496 | 510 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Component | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| НО | 12.9 | 8.8 | 11.0 | 10.1 | 15.5 | 11.2 | 16.2 | 15.3 | 11.4 | 15.2 | 12.1 | 13.1 |
| HO/BP | 2.8 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.9 |
| HO/NAME | 20.6 | 19.5 | 20.5 | 17.3 | 25.5 | 21.7 | 23.2 | 19.3 | 21.7 | 19.0 | 19.8 | 19.0 |
| HO/BP/NAME | 48.6 | 44.0 | 46.4 | 43.3 | 42.8 | 49.5 | 44.3 | 49.7 | 55.1 | 51.6 | 49.4 | 52.7 |
| HO TOTAL | 84.8 | 74.3 | 81.0 | 72.6 | 86.4 | 86.9 | 86.5 | 86.5 | 91.5 | 88.4 | 83.5 | 87.8 |
| BP | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| NAME | 12.7 | 24.1 | 17.6 | 26.3 | 9.4 | 10.7 | 10.2 | 10.7 | 7.5 | 9.4 | 12.7 | 8.6 |
| BP/NAME | 2.4 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 3.6 | 3.3 |
| NHO TOTAL | 15.2 | 25.7 | 19.0 | 27.4 | 13.6 | 13.1 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 8.5 | 11.6 | 16.5 | 12.2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MALES | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| count | 2,452 | 171 | 186 | 189 | 201 | 199 | 216 | 226 | 275 | 271 | 258 | 260 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Component | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| НО | 11.5 | 8.8 | 10.8 | 9.5 | 12.4 | 9.5 | 15.3 | 11.1 | 10.2 | 13.3 | 10.9 | 13.1 |
| HO/BP | 2.7 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 3.5 |
| HO/NAME | 21.0 | 18.1 | 18.3 | 19.0 | 25.9 | 21.1 | 26.9 | 19.0 | 23.6 | 19.9 | 20.2 | 18.1 |
| HO/BP/NAME | 48.8 | 42.1 | 46.8 | 42.9 | 44.3 | 54.3 | 40.7 | 50.9 | 52.4 | 53.5 | 51.6 | 51.9 |
| HO TOTAL | 84.0 | 70.8 | 78.0 | 72.5 | 87.1 | 89.4 | 84.7 | 83.2 | 90.5 | 88.2 | 84.9 | 86.5 |
| BP | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| NAME | 13.3 | 26.3 | 19.9 | 25.9 | 7.5 | 9.5 | 11.6 | 14.2 | 8.4 | 9.2 | 11.6 | 10.4 |
| BP/NAME | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 5.5 | 1.0 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 2.7 |
| NHO TOTAL | 16.0 | 29.2 | 22.0 | 27.5 | 12.9 | 10.6 | 15.3 | 16.8 | 9.5 | 11.8 | 15.1 | 13.5 |
| DEM AT DO | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FEMALES | 2.164 | 126 | 150 | 160 | 100 | 175 | 215 | 205 | 217 | 220 | 220 | 250 |
| count | 2,164 | 136 | 150 | 169 | 180 | 175 | 215 | 205 | 217 | 229 | 238 | 250 |
| Component | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| НО | 14.6 | 8.8 | 11.3 | 10.7 | 18.9 | 13.1 | 17.2 | 20.0 | 12.9 | 17.5 | 13.4 | 13.2 |
| HO/BP | 2.8 | 2.2 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 2.4 |
| HO/NAME | 20.1 | 21.3 | 23.3 | 15.4 | 25.0 | 22.3 | 19.5 | 19.5 | 19.4 | 17.9 | 19.3 | 20.0 |
| HO/BP/NAME | 48.3 | 46.3 | 46.0 | 43.8 | 41.1 | 44.0 | 47.9 | 48.3 | 58.5 | 49.3 | 47.1 | 53.6 |
| HO TOTAL | 85.7 | 78.7 | 84.7 | 72.8 | 85.6 | 84.0 | 88.4 | 90.2 | 92.6 | 88.6 | 81.9 | 89.2 |
| BP | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| NAME | 11.9 | 21.3 | 14.7 | 26.6 | 11.7 | 12.0 | 8.8 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 9.6 | 13.9 | 6.8 |
| BP/NAME | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 3.8 | 4.0 |
| NHO TOTAL | 14.3 | 21.3 | 15.3 | 27.2 | 14.4 | 16.0 | 11.6 | 9.8 | 7.4 | 11.4 | 18.1 | 10.8 |
| | | | | | • | | | | | | | |

HO=Hispanic origin (yes)

BP=Hispanic non-U.S. birthplace

NAME=Heavily Hispanic last or maiden Name

HO Total=Hispanic decedents identified on death certificates

NHO Total=Hispanic decedents not identified by Hispanic origin on death certificates but with BP, NAME or BP and NAME

Table 23 Comparison of Illinois with United States (U.S.) Five Year Age-Adjusted Cancer Mortality Rates, 1988-1992 White Hispanic and White Non-Hispanic Males

| | U.S. | White Nor | n-Hispanic Illinois panic Identificat | tion | U.S. | Whit H | ation | |
|----------------------------------|-------|-----------|---|----------|-------|-----------|----------|----------|
| SITE | | Direct | Indirect | Combined | | Direct | Indirect | Combined |
| All Sites | 217.0 | 223.4 | 223.2 | 222.7 | 134.0 | 103.0 | 110.2 | 124.9 |
| Oral Cavity excl Nasopharynx | 3.9 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| Nasopharynx | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Esophagus | 5.4 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 3.9 |
| Stomach | 6.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 8.8 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.8 |
| Colorectal | 23.4 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.7 | 13.4 | 12.6 | 13.3 | 14.8 |
| Liver and Intrahepatic Bile Duct | 3.7 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 5.6 |
| Gallbladder | - | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | - | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Pancreas | 9.8 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 7.4 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 6.7 |
| Larynx | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Lung and Bronchus | 74.2 | 74.3 | 74.3 | 74.1 | 33.6 | 25.5 | 26.6 | 31.4 |
| Melanomas | 3.6 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Breast | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prostate | 24.4 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 15.9 | 9.6 | 10.7 | 12.5 |
| Testis | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Bladder | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| Kidney and Renal Pelvis | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 4.7 |
| Brain and Other Nervous System | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Thyroid | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Hodgkin's Disease | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma | 8.2 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 5.1 |
| Multiple Myeloma | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.2 |
| Leukemias | 8.6 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 9.1 | 5.4 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 5.4 |

Hispanic identification is defined as follows (1) Direct is death certificate Hispanic origin only; (2) Indirect is Hispanic non-U.S. and/or heavily Hispanic Surname; and (3) Combined is death certificate Hispanic origin and/or Hispanic non-U.S. birthplace and/or heavily Hispanic surname. SOURCE: Illinois Master Death Tapes 1986 to 1996

Table 24 Comparison of Illinois withUnited States (U.S.) Five Year Age-Adjusted Cancer Mortality Rates, 1988-1992 White Hispanic and White Non-Hispanic Females

| | YI G | White Nor | • | | White Hispanic | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|-----------|------------------------------|----------|----------------|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|--|--|
| | U.S. | His | Illinois panic Identifica | tion | U.S. | Illinois Hispanic Identificati | | ation | | |
| SITE | | Direct | Indirect | Combined | | Direct | Indirect | Combined | | |
| All Sites | 143.0 | 146.9 | 146.9 | 146.4 | 89.0 | 74.8 | 73.6 | 87.8 | | |
| Oral Cavity excl Nasopharynx | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | | |
| Nasopharynx | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Esophagus | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | | |
| Stomach | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 3.9 | | |
| Colorectal | 15.6 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.6 | 8.6 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 7.6 | | |
| Liver and Intrahepatic Bile Duct | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.4 | | |
| Gallbladder | _ | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | - | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | | |
| Pancreas | 7.0 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 5.8 | | |
| Larynx | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | | |
| Lung and Bronchus | 32.9 | 31.7 | 31.7 | 31.6 | 11.2 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 11.4 | | |
| Melanomas | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | | |
| Breast | 27.7 | 30.1 | 30.1 | 30.0 | 15.7 | 13.9 | 13.6 | 16.9 | | |
| Cervix | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 4.2 | | |
| Corpus Uteri | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2.6 | | |
| Ovary | 8.2 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 5.1 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 5.3 | | |
| Bladder | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | | |
| Kidney and Renal Pelvis | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.5 | | |
| Brain and Other Nervous System | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 2.0 | | |
| Thyroid | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | | |
| Hodgkin's Disease | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | | |
| Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.9 | | |
| Multiple Myeloma | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.3 | | |
| Leukemias | 5.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.4 | | |

Hispanic identification is defined as follows (1) Direct is death certificate Hispanic origin only; (2) Indirect is Hispanic non-U.S. and/or heavily Hispanic Surname; and (3) Combined is death certificate Hispanic origin and/or Hispanic non-U.S. birthplace and/or heavily Hispanic surname. SOURCE: Illinois Master Death Tapes 1986 to 1996

Table 25 Comparison of Illinois with United States (U.S.) Five Year Age-Adjusted Cancer Mortality Rates, 1988-1992 Hispanic (All Races) Males and Females

| | | Mal | es | | Females | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------|----------|------------------|----------|---------|----------|------------------|----------|--|--|
| | U.S. | Illinois | | U.S. | | Illinois | | | | |
| | | | anic Identificat | | | | anic Identificat | ion | | |
| Site | | Direct | Indirect | Combined | | Direct | Indirect | Combined | | |
| All Sites | 129.0 | 98.6 | 109.3 | 119.6 | 85.0 | 71.3 | 74.3 | 84.4 | | |
| Oral Cavity excl Nasopharynx | 2.7 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | | |
| Nasopharynx | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Esophagus | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | | |
| Stomach | 8.4 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.8 | | |
| Colon and Rectum | 12.8 | 12.0 | 12.9 | 14.2 | 8.3 | 6.4 | 6.9 | 7.7 | | |
| Liver & Intrahepatic Bile Duct | 5.9 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.3 | | |
| Pancreas | 7.1 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 5.5 | | |
| Larynx | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | | |
| Lung and Bronchus | 32.4 | 24.8 | 27.4 | 30.3 | 10.8 | 8.8 | 10.0 | 11.1 | | |
| Melanomas | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | | |
| Breast | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 13.1 | 13.6 | 16.0 | | |
| Cervix | - | - | - | - | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 4.1 | | |
| Corpus Uteri | - | - | - | - | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 2.4 | | |
| Ovary | - | - | - | - | 4.8 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 5.1 | | |
| Prostate | 15.3 | 9.2 | 10.7 | 12.1 | - | = | - | - | | |
| Testis | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | - | = | - | - | | |
| Urinary Bladder | 2.8 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | | |
| Kidney & Renal Pelvis | 3.7 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.4 | | |
| Brain, Other Nervous System | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.8 | | |
| Thyroid | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | | |
| Hodgkin's Disease | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | | |
| Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma | 5.3 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 4.8 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.8 | | |
| Multiple Myeloma | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.2 | | |
| Leukemia | 5.1 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 5.3 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 4.2 | | |

Hispanic identification is defined as follows (1) Direct is death certificate Hispanic origin only; (2) Indirect is Hispanic non-U.S. and/or heavily Hispanic Surname; and (3) Combined is death certificate Hispanic origin and/or Hispanic non-U.S. birthplace and/or heavily Hispanic surname. SOURCE: Illinois Department of Public Health Master Death Tapes, 1988 to 1992

Table 26
Descriptive and Analytic Statistics Illinois Compared with U.S. Cancer Mortality Rates,1988-1992
Males (n=21 Sites) and Females (n=22 Sites)
White Hispanics, White Non-Hispanics and All Races Hispanics

| | White | Hispanic | | |
|-------------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------|---------|
| | Males | | Females | |
| Hispanic Variable | Mean | S.D. | Mean | S.D. |
| Direct | 4.4 | 5.8 | 2.9 | 3.4 |
| Indirect | 4.7 | 6.1 | 2.9 | 3.4 |
| Combined | 5.3 | 7.2 | 3.5 | 4.1 |
| U.S. | 5.7 | 7.7 | 3.5 | 3.9 |
| Hispanic Variable | U.Sminus Illi | | U.S. minus Illi | |
| Direct | 1.3 | inois | 0.5 | 11015 |
| Indirect | 1.0 | | 0.6 | |
| Combined | 0.4 | | 0.0 | |
| Hispanic Variable | Pearson r | p value | Pearson r | p value |
| Direct | .989 | y value < 0.01 | .992 | < 0.01 |
| | | | | |
| Indirect | .990 | < 0.01 | .992 | < 0.01 |
| Combined | .993 | < 0.01 | .993 | < 0.01 |
| | White N | on-Hispanic | | |
| | Males | | Females | |
| Hispanic Variable | Mean | S.D. | Mean | S.D. |
| Direct | 9.5 | 16.5 | 6.0 | 8.9 |
| Indirect | 9.5 | 16.5 | 6.0 | 8.9 |
| Combined | 9.5 | 16.4 | 5.9 | 8.8 |
| U.S. | 9.3 | 16.3 | 5.8 | 8.7 |
| Hispanic Variable | U.S. minus Illin | | U.S. minus Illii | |
| Direct | -0.2 | 11015 | -0.2 | 11015 |
| Indirect | -0.2 | | -0.2 | |
| | -0.2 -0.2 | | -0.2 -0.1 | |
| Combined | | , | **- | , |
| Hispanic Variable | Pearson r | p value | Pearson r | p value |
| Direct | .999 | < 0.01 | .998 | < 0.01 |
| Indirect | .999 | < 0.01 | .997 | < 0.01 |
| Combined | .999 | < 0.01 | .998 | < 0.01 |
| | All Race | es Hispanics | | |
| | Males | | Females | |
| Hispanic Variable | Mean | S.D. | Mean | S.D. |
| Direct | 4.2 | 5.7 | 2.8 | 3.2 |
| Indirect | 4.4 | 5.8 | 2.8 | 3.2 |
| Combined | 5.0 | 6.9 | 3.3 | 4.0 |
| U.S. | 5.5 | 7.4 | 3.3 | 3.8 |
| Hispanic Variable | U.S. minus Illin | | U.S. minus Illin | |
| Direct | 1.3 | iiuis | 0.5. minus min | 11015 |
| | | | | |
| Indirect | 1.1 | | 0.5 0.0 | |
| Combined | 0.5 | | | _ |
| Hispanic Variable | Pearson r | p value | Pearson r | p value |
| Direct | .989 | < 0.01 | .994 | < 0.01 |
| Indirect | .990 | < 0.01 | .992 | < 0.01 |
| Combined | .993 | < 0.01 | .994 | < 0.01 |

Hispanic identification is defined as follows (1) Direct is death certificate Hispanic origin only; (2) Indirect is Hispanic non-U.S. and/or heavily Hispanic Surname; and (3) Combined is death certificate Hispanic origin and/or Hispanic non-U.S. birthplace and/or heavily Hispanic surname.

Table 27 Comparison of Illinois with U.S. Age-Adjusted Hispanic Cancer Mortality Rates for Selected Sites in Males Using Direct, Indirect and Combined Hispanic Identifier Variables*

| All Sites | U.S. 1986-96 129.0 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------------|------------|------|-------|
| Direct | 93.9 | 79.5 | 90.2 | 84.6 | 107.5 | 99.5 | 92.9 | 94.6 | 110.0 | 100.9 | 82.5 | 86.9 |
| Indirect | 99.3 | 101.8 | 105.2 | 106.2 | 108.2 | 101.0 | 90.7 | 102.7 | 110.3 | 101.1 | 86.8 | 86.7 |
| Combined | 113.0 | 111.6 | 114.6 | 116.7 | 125.8 | 112.4 | 111.1 | 116.1 | 124.4 | 116.9 | 98.2 | 101.0 |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Oral Cavity excl | 2.7 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nasopharynx | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | | 2.0 | | 2.2 | 0.4 | | 0.0 |
| Direct | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| Indirect | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 5.1 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| Combined | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 5.3 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| Nasopharynx | U.S. 1986-96 0.3 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Direct | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Indirect | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Combined | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Esophagus | 3.4 | | 2.0 | | | | | | | | • • | |
| Direct | 2.9 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 4.1 |
| Indirect | 3.2 | 1.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 4.6 |
| Combined | 3.4 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 4.6 |
| Stomach | U.S. 1986-96 8.4 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Direct | 6.1 | 5.5 | 9.0 | 3.3 | 8.3 | 5.7 | 7.2 | 4.1 | 6.2 | 8.5 | 5.5 | 4.9 |
| Indirect | 6.3 | 5.9 | 8.0 | 4.3 | 8.2 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 4.0 | 7.0 | 8.5 | 7.0 | 4.0 |
| Combined | 6.9 | 7.0 | 9.7 | 4.8 | 8.8 | 6.0 | 7.7 | 4.9 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 7.0 | 4.9 |
| Color and Destruct | U.S. 1986-96 12.8 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Colon and Rectum Direct | 12.8 | 5.7 | 9.9 | 9.8 | 12.3 | 11.4 | 12.1 | 12.1 | 16.4 | 9.0 | 7.5 | 8.0 |
| Indirect | 11.0 | 7.8 | 11.5 | 12.9 | 12.3 | 11.4 | 12.1 | 12.1 | 16.4 | 10.2 | 7.2 | 8.5 |
| Combined | 12.4 | 8.4 | 12.8 | 15.5 | 13.8 | 12.8 | 13.0 | 13.9 | 18.7 | 11.4 | 8.6 | 9.1 |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Liver/Intrahepatic Bile Duct | 5.9 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Direct | 5.1 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 9.1 | 3.9 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Indirect | 5.0 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 8.4 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 5.3 |
| Combined | 5.8 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 9.5 | 4.4 | 6.7 | 6.3 |
| _ | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Pancreas | 7.1 | <i>-</i> 1 | | 0.4 | 4.2 | 67 | F 1 | 2.5 | 4.5 | <i>5</i> 0 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| Direct | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 8.4 | 4.3 | 6.7 | 5.1 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 5.8 | 3.1 | 4.6 |
| Indirect Combined | 5.2 | 5.2 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 3.8 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 3.5 | 4.9 5.3 | 6.1 | 4.1 | 5.2 |
| Comonica | 6.0 | 7.0 | 7.4 | 9.4 | 4.8 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 4.3 | 5.3 | 6.5 | 4.1 | 6.2 |

^{*}Rates are per 100,000 and are age-adjusted to 1970 U.S. standard population.

Hispanic identification is defined as follows (1) Direct is death certificate Hispanic origin only; (2) Indirect is Hispanic non-U.S. and/or heavily Hispanic Surname; and (3) Combined is death certificate Hispanic origin and/or Hispanic non-U.S. birthplace and/or heavily Hispanic surname.

Table 27 (continued)
Comparison of Illinois with U.S. Age-Adjusted Hispanic Cancer Mortality Rates for Selected Sites in Males
Using Direct, Indirect and Combined Hispanic Identifier Variables*

| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
|--------------------------|--------------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Larynx | 1.9 | 1,00 | 1,0, | 1,00 | 1,0, | 1,,,, | | | 1,,,, | | 1,,,, | 1,,,, |
| Direct | 1.2 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| Indirect | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Combined | 1.3 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Combined | 1.3 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Lung and Duanahua | 32.4 | 1900 | 1907 | 1900 | 1707 | 1990 | 1771 | 1992 | 1773 | 1774 | 1993 | 1990 |
| Lung and Bronchus Direct | 21.5 | 18.5 | 17.1 | 24.5 | 27.4 | 27.7 | 19.3 | 21.9 | 21.2 | 21.7 | 17.7 | 20.4 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Indirect | 23.0 | 25.0 | 23.1 | 27.2 | 25.7 | 28.5 | 16.8 | 24.4 | 22.1 | 21.7 | 20.9 | 21.1 |
| Combined | 26.8 | 25.9 | 25.5 | 31.8 | 32.5 | 31.4 | 24.6 | 27.6 | 24.9 | 25.4 | 23.8 | 24.8 |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Melanomas | 0.8 | 1960 | 1907 | 1900 | 1707 | 1990 | 1771 | 1992 | 1773 | 1774 | 1993 | 1990 |
| Direct | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| Indirect | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Combined | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Breast | 0.1 | 1700 | 1707 | 1700 | 1707 | 1770 | 1//1 | 1772 | 1773 | 1//7 | 1773 | 1770 |
| Direct | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Indirect | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Combined | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Comonied | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Prostate | 15.3 | 1,00 | 1707 | 1700 | 1,0, | 1,,,0 | 1//1 | 1,,,2 | 1,,,5 | 1,,,, | 1,,,, | 1,,,0 |
| Direct | 10.6 | 8.9 | 12.5 | 7.3 | 11.8 | 9.3 | 7.9 | 8.6 | 16.3 | 12.7 | 8.7 | 10.4 |
| Indirect | 10.8 | 10.6 | 13.1 | 7.6 | 12.0 | 9.2 | 10.8 | 10.1 | 14.8 | 12.2 | 8.1 | 10.2 |
| Combined | 12.6 | 10.6 | 13.5 | 8.6 | 14.8 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 10.1 | 18.4 | 13.9 | 9.7 | 12.8 |
| Comonied | 12.0 | 10.0 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 10.9 | 10.4 | 13.9 | 9.1 | 12.0 |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Testis | 0.2 | 1,00 | 1,0, | 1,00 | 1,0, | 1,,,, | | | 1,,,, | | 1,,,, | 1,,,, |
| Direct | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Indirect | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Combined | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Combined | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Urinary Bladder | 2.8 | 1,00 | 1,0, | 1,00 | 1,0, | 1,,,, | 1,,,1 | | 1,,,, | | 1,,,, | 1,,,0 |
| Direct | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 2.2 |
| Indirect | 2.0 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| Combined | 2.2 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 2.2 |
| Combined | 2.2 | 3.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 2.2 |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Kidney/Renal Pelvis | 3.7 | 1,00 | 1,0, | 1,00 | 1,0, | 1,,,, | 1,,,1 | | 1,,,, | | 1,,,, | 1,,,0 |
| Direct | 3.3 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 5.4 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 2.4 |
| Indirect | 4.0 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 3.9 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 3.1 |
| Combined | 4.1 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 3.5 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 3.1 |
| Combined | 4.1 | 4.7 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 3.1 |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Brain/Other | 3.0 | | | | | | /- | | | /. | | |
| Nervous System | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Direct | 1.8 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 3.7 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 2.0 |
| Indirect | 2.1 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 5.2 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 2.0 |
| Combined | 2.1 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 5.2 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 2.1 |
| Comonica | 2.2 | 1./ | 2.3 | ٤.∠ | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.5 | ٤.∠ | 1.0 | 1.4 | 4.4 |

Table 27 (continued)
Comparison of Illinois with U.S. Age-Adjusted Hispanic Cancer Mortality Rates for Selected Sites in Males
Using Direct, Indirect and Combined Hispanic Identifier Variables*

| 771 · · · · | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
|--------------------|--------------|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Thyroid | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Direct Indirect | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 1.0 |
| Combined | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Hodgkin s Disease | 0.6 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Direct | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Indirect | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Combined | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Non-Hodgkin s | 5.3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lymphoma | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Direct | 4.4 | 2.4 | 4.2 | 2.6 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 2.3 | 5.9 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 4.2 |
| Indirect | 4.4 | 3.7 | 5.7 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 2.5 | 5.9 | 3.4 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 3.6 |
| Combined | 5.1 | 3.7 | 6.3 | 2.6 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 6.9 | 4.5 | 6.9 | 5.6 | 4.8 |
| Comomou | 0.1 | σ., | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 0.5 | | 0.5 | 0.0 | |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Multiple Myeloma | 2.7 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Direct | 2.1 | 2.2 | 4.3 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 5.2 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| Indirect | 2.4 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 4.3 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Combined | 2.7 | 3.1 | 4.3 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 5.2 | 1.7 | 3.9 | 1.2 | 1.5 |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Leukemias | 5.1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Direct | 4.1 | 5.5 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 6.2 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 2.7 |
| Indirect | 4.1 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 4.3 | 8.3 | 4.1 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 2.4 |
| Combined | 4.7 | 6.2 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 8.9 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 2.7 |
| | , | ~. _ | 2.0 | | 0.7 | | | 2.3 | | , | 0.0 | , |

Source: Illinois Master Death Tapes 1986 to 1996

Table 28
Comparison of Illinois with U.S. Age-Adjusted Hispanic Cancer Mortality Rates for Selected Sites in Females
Using Direct, Indirect and Combined Hispanic Identifier Variables*

| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------|------------|
| All Sites | 85.0 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Direct | 68.8 | 56.2 | 61.6 | 59.8 | 69.4 | 66.0 | 78.9 | 73.4 | 75.4 | 69.5 | 66.7 | 72.0 |
| Indirect | 68.5 | 67.0 | 65.3 | 72.2 | 65.4 | 68.3 | 74.3 | 63.9 | 70.5 | 64.8 | 70.1 | 70.4 |
| Combined | 80.5 | 72.6 | 73.4 | 81.6 | 81.5 | 79.4 | 90.6 | 80.4 | 81.9 | 78.4 | 81.3 | 80.9 |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Oral Cavity | 0.7 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| excl Nasopharynx Direct | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Indirect | 0.4 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 0.4 | 0.6 1.2 | 1.0 1.0 | 0.4 0.4 | 0.5 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Combined | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Combined | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Nasopharynx | U.S. 1986-96 0.1 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Direct | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Indirect | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Combined | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Esophagus | 0.7 | 1,00 | 1,0, | 1,00 | 1,0, | 1,,,, | 1,,,1 | 1,,,_ | 1,,,, | 1,,,, | 1,,,, | 1,,,, |
| Direct | 0.8 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| Indirect | 0.8 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0.8 |
| Combined | 0.9 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 0.8 |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Stomach Direct | 4.2 | 0.8 | 6.2 | 1.3 | 5.5 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 4.1 | 5.8 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| Indirect | 3.3 | 2.7 | 7.1 | 1.3 | 3.3 4.8 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 4.1 | 5.6 5.5 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 2.4 2.0 |
| Combined | 3.9 | 2.7 | 7.1 | 1.3 | 6.0 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 6.3 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 2.6 |
| Combined | 3.9 | 2.1 | 7.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 7.5 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 2.0 |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Colon and Rectum Direct | 8.3 6.1 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 0 6 | 2.0 | 8.7 | 7.8 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 0.2 |
| Indirect | 6.1 | 6.1 | 3.9 3.6 | 6.8 | 5.1 | 8.6 8.8 | 3.0 2.9 | 8.3 | 7.8 6.9 | 3.7 4.4 | 5.5 | 9.2 8.4 |
| Combined | 7.2 | 6.1 | 4.6 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 10.1 | 4.5 | 9.1 | 7.8 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 9.9 |
| Combined | 7.2 | 0.1 | 4.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 4.5 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 0.5 | 3.7 |).) |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Liver/Intrahepatic Bile Duct | 2.8 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Direct | 2.1 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 0.8 | 2.6 |
| Indirect | 2.3 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 3.0 |
| Combined | 2.6 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 3.3 |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Pancreas | 5.2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Direct | 4.2 | 4.3 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 6.4 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 3.0 |
| Indirect | 4.2 | 5.0 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 3.8 |
| Combined | 5.0 | 5.0 | 7.3 | 8.4 | 3.4 | 4.9 | 3.1 | 7.3 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 4.5 |

^{*}Rates are per 100,000 and are age-adjusted to 1970 U.S. standard population.

Hispanic identification is defined as follows (1) Direct is death certificate Hispanic origin only; (2) Indirect is Hispanic non-U.S. and/or heavily Hispanic Surname; and (3) Combined is death certificate Hispanic origin and/or Hispanic non-U.S. birthplace and/or heavily Hispanic surname.

Table 28 (continued)
Comparison of Illinois with U.S. Age-Adjusted Hispanic Cancer Mortality Rates for Selected Sites in Females
Using Direct, Indirect and Combined Hispanic Identifier Variables*

| Lammy | U.S. 1986-96 0.2 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
|---------------------|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Larynx Direct | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Indirect | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Combined | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Comonica | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lung and Bronchus | U.S. 1986-96 10.8 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Direct | 8.4 | 5.0 | 8.3 | 5.4 | 11.2 | 6.5 | 11.3 | 8.4 | 9.4 | 7.5 | 9.6 | 8.4 |
| Indirect | 8.8 | 6.2 | 9.3 | 8.4 | 10.0 | 7.9 | 11.2 | 6.6 | 9.7 | 7.4 | 11.1 | 8.2 |
| Combined | 10.7 | 7.5 | 11.1 | 8.8 | 12.8 | 9.4 | 14.1 | 9.3 | 11.1 | 9.0 | 13.2 | 10.0 |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Melanomas | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Direct | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Indirect | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Combined | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Breast | U.S. 1986-96 15.0 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Direct | 13.0 | 11.1 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 12.3 | 11.1 | 19.1 | 12.4 | 11.9 | 11.3 | 13.3 | 14.6 |
| Indirect | 12.4 | 13.8 | 9.6 | 11.0 | 12.3 | 10.2 | 17.2 | 12.4 | 11.9 | 11.0 | 14.5 | 14.4 |
| Combined | 14.9 | 14.5 | 10.6 | 13.4 | 14.6 | 12.2 | 22.4 | 15.3 | 13.1 | 13.6 | | 16.0 |
| Combined | 14.9 | 14.3 | 10.0 | 13.4 | 14.0 | 12.2 | 22.4 | 13.3 | 13.1 | 13.0 | 10.4 | 10.0 |
| Cervix Uteri | U.S. 1986-96 3.4 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Direct | 2.9 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| Indirect | 3.1 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 6.1 | 2.8 | 4.5 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 1.8 |
| Combined | 3.4 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 6.1 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 2.2 |
| Combined | 3.4 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 3.7 | т. / | 7.1 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.2 |
| Corpus Uteri | U.S. 1986-96 2.3 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Direct | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 1.1 |
| Indirect | 1.6 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.1 |
| Combined | 2.0 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 1.1 |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Ovary | 4.8 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Direct | 4.0 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 5.5 | 3.0 | 6.6 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.5 |
| Indirect | 4.0 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 4.0 |
| Combined | 4.6 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 3.6 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 4.8 |
| Urinary Bladder | U.S. 1986-96 0.9 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Direct | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Indirect | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Combined | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Kidney/Renal Pelvis | U.S. 1986-96 1.7 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Direct | 1.6 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| Indirect | 1.6 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| Combined | 1.8 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| Comonico | 1.0 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 5.0 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 2.0 |

Table 28 (continued)
Comparison of Illinois with U.S. Age-Adjusted Hispanic Cancer Mortality Rates for Selected Sites in Females
Using Direct, Indirect and Combined Hispanic Identifier Variables*

| Brain/Other | U.S. 1986-96 2.0 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
|-------------------|---------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Nervous System | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Direct | 1.7 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 1.3 |
| Indirect | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| Combined | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 1.3 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Thyroid | 0.5 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Direct | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Indirect | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Combined | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Hodgkin s Disease | 0.3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Direct | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Indirect | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Combined | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Non-Hodgkin s | 3.6 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lymphoma | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 2.6 | | 2.2 | 2.0 | | 2.1 | 4.4 | 2.6 |
| Direct | 2.8 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 3.6 |
| Indirect | 2.7 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| Combined | 3.1 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 4.9 | 4.0 |
| | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Multiple Myeloma | 1.8 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Direct | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 3.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 2.5 |
| Indirect | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 2.2 |
| Combined | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 5.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 2.9 |
| | TT G 1000 00 | 1006 | 1005 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1001 | 1000 | 1002 | 1004 | 1005 | 1006 |
| T 1 . | U.S. 1986-96 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Leukemias | 3.4 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1 7 | 4.0 | 5 A | 2.0 | 2.0 | 26 | 27 | 4.0 |
| Direct | 3.6 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 1.7 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 4.8 |
| Indirect | 3.5 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 5.7 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 4.9 |
| Combined | 3.9 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.9 |

Appendix

Alphabetical and Categorical Listing of United States Bureau of the Census Heavily Hispanic Last and Maiden Names for Illinois Cancer Deaths, 1986 to 1996

| 115 ABAD | 125 AMADOR | 125 BADILLO | 125 BONILLA | 115 CARRENO |
|----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 115 ABARCA | 115 AMARO | 125 BAEZ | 105 BORJAS | 125 CARREON |
| 125 ABREGO | 125 AMAYA | 125 BAEZA | 102 BORRAS | 110 CARRERAS |
| 125 ABREU | 115 AMBRIZ | 105 BALDERAMA | 125 BORREGO | 125 CARRERO |
| 125 ACEVEDO | 101 AMELLA | 125 BALDERAS | 125 BOTELLO | 101 CARRIEDO |
| 115 ACEVES | 110 AMESQUITA | 115 BALDERRAMA | 105 BRAN | 125 CARRILLO |
| 125 ACOSTA | 125 ANAYA | 101 BALDOVINOS | 125 BRAVO | 102 CARRIO |
| 125 ADORNO | 110 ANCHONDO | 101 BALITA | 105 BRIBIESCA | 125 CARRION |
| 125 AGOSTO | 115 ANDINO | 125 BALLESTEROS | 102 BRIENO | 115 CARRIZALES |
| 105 AGUADO | 101 ANELL | 125 BANDA | 125 BRIONES | 125 CARVAJAL |
| | 101 ANELLE 102 ANGLADA | 125 BANUELOS | 101 BRIOSO | 105 CASADO |
| 125 AGUAYO | 125 ANGUIANO | 115 BARAHONA | 125 BRISENO | 125 CASANOVA |
| 110 AGUDELO | | | | |
| 110 AGUILA | 105 ANTUNEZ | 125 BARAJAS | 125 BRITO | 125 CASARES |
| 125 AGUILAR | 125 APARICIO | 101 BAREA | 101 BROCHE | 101 CASARIS |
| 125 AGUILERA | 125 APONTE | 110 BARGAS | 102 BRUGUERA | 125 CASAS |
| 110 AGUILLON | 115 AQUILAR | 125 BARRAGAN | 125 BUENO | 115 CASIANO |
| 105 AGUINIGA | 110 AQUIRRE | 125 BARRAZA | 105 BURCIAGA | 125 CASILLAS |
| 125 AGUIRRE | 125 ARAGON | 102 BARREDA | 125 BURGOS | 102 CASTANADA |
| 101 AJURIA | 115 ARAMBULA | 125 BARRERA | 125 BUSTOS | 125 CASTANEDA |
| 125 ALANIS | 125 ARANA | 110 BARRERAS | 102 CAAMANO | 105 CASTANEDO |
| 125 ALANIZ | 115 ARANGO | 101 BARRES | 105 CABA | 115 CASTANON |
| 125 ALARCON | 102 ARBALLO | 110 BARRIENTES | 125 CABALLERO | 125 CASTELLANOS |
| 105 ALATORRE | 125 ARCE | 101 BARRIGAN | 125 CABAN | 110 CASTELLON |
| 125 ALBA | 110 ARCEO | 125 BARRIOS | 102 CABELLERO | 102 CASTENEDA |
| 101 ALBIZU | 105 ARCOS | 110 BASQUEZ | 110 CABRALES | 125 CASTILLO |
| 125 ALCALA | 125 ARELLANO | 125 BATISTA | 125 CABRERA | 125 CASTRO |
| 102 ALCALDE | 125 ARENAS | 110 BATRES | 115 CACERES | 125 CAVAZOS |
| 125 ALCANTAR | 102 ARES | 115 BAZAN | 101 CADELL | 101 CAZALES |
| 115 ALCANTARA | 125 AREVALO | 125 BECERRA | 105 CAJIGAS | 115 CEDENO |
| 125 ALCARAZ | 115 ARGUELLES | 105 BECERRIL | 101 CALAS | 125 CEJA |
| 105 ALCAZAR | 125 ARIAS | 110 BEDOLLA | 125 CALDERON | 101 CENO |
| 105 ALCOCER | 102 ARJONA | 110 BEDOYA | 102 CALLEROS | 125 CENTENO |
| 105 ALCOSER | 125 ARMAS | 102 BELA | 125 CALVILLO | 125 CERDA |
| 110 ALEGRIA | 102 ARMENTEROS | 125 BELTRAN | 115 CALVO | 115 CERNA |
| 110 ALEJANDRE | 125 ARMIJO | 125 BENAVIDES | 125 CAMACHO | 105 CERON |
| 125 ALEJANDRO | 101 AROSEMENA | 125 BENAVIDEZ | 115 CAMARENA | 125 CERVANTES |
| 125 ALEMAN | 125 ARREDONDO | 125 BENITEZ | 102 CAMPILLO | 125 CERVANTEZ |
| 125 ALFARO | 110 ARRELLANO | 101 BENTIVOGLIO | 125 CAMPOS | 101 CHABALA |
| | 125 ARREOLA | 110 BERLANGA | 105 CAMPOVERDE | 102 CHABEZ |
| 105 ALGARIN | 125 ARRIAGA | 102 BERMEO | 110 CANCHOLA | 125 CHACON |
| 125 ALICEA | 125 ARROYO | 125 BERMUDEZ | 102 CANDIA | 105 CHAGOYA |
| 110 ALMAGUER | | 125 BERNAL | | 110 CHAIDEZ |
| 125 ALMANZA | 125 ARTEAGA | | 125 CANO | |
| 125 ALMARAZ | 101 ARTILES | 125 BERRIOS | 102 CANSINO | 105 CHAIREZ |
| 115 ALMENDAREZ | 115 ARZOLA | 101 BETANCE | 125 CANTU | 125 CHAPA |
| 102 ALMEYDA | 102 ARZUAGA | 125 BETANCOURT | 105 CAPOTE | 115 CHAPARRO |
| 115 ALMODOVAR | 102 ASCENSIO | 101 BIAZ | 105 CARABAJAL | 125 CHAVARRIA |
| 125 ALMONTE | 102 ASPERA | 102 BILLEGAS | 102 CARAVANTES | 105 CHAVERA |
| 125 ALONSO | 102 ATILANO | 125 BLANCO | 125 CARBAJAL | 125 CHAVEZ |
| 125 ALONZO | 125 AVALOS | 105 BLANDON | 125 CARDENAS | 102 CHIONG |
| 125 ALTAMIRANO | 125 AVILA | 101 BLES | 125 CARDONA | 101 CHUMAN |
| 125 ALVA | 125 AVILES | 102 BOBE | 125 CARMONA | 125 CINTRON |
| 125 ALVARADO | 115 AVINA | 115 BOCANEGRA | 110 CARPIO | 125 CISNEROS |
| 125 ALVAREZ | 125 AYALA | 110 BOLIVAR | 125 CARRANZA | 102 CISNEROZ |
| 101 ALZAMORA | 125 BACA | 110 BONET | 125 CARRASQUILO | 102 CLAROS |
| | | | | |

| 115 CLAUDIO | 125 DELATORRE | 125 FLOREZ | 125 GUARDADO | 105 LANZARIN |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 105 COBIAN | 125 DELEON | 101 FONTANI | 125 GUERRA | 125 LARA |
| 102 COBO | 125 DELGADILLO | 101 FORCADE | 102 GUERREO | 105 LARES |
| 101 COBURGER | 125 DELGADO | 101 FORINO | 125 GUERRERO | 102 LARREA |
| 105 COLINDRES | 115 DELREAL | 102 FORTIS | 125 GUILLEN | 101 LAUTERIO |
| 125 COLLADO | 125 DELVALLE | 101 FRAIMAN | 101 GUINEA | 115 LAZO |
| 125 COLLAZO | 101 DERMA | 125 FRANCO | 101 GURRA | 125 LEAL |
| 125 COLON | 101 DIAN | 115 FRAUSTO | 115 GUSMAN | 125 LEBRON |
| 105 COLORADO | 125 DIAZ | 115 FREGOSO | 102 GUTIERES | 125 LEDESMA |
| 125 COLUNGA | 101 DOLGONOS | 125 FRIAS | 125 GUTIERREZ | 115 LEDEZMA |
| 101 COMPAS | 125 DOMINGUEZ | 101 FRUMIN | 105 GUTTIERREZ | 115 LEIJA |
| 125 CONCEPCION | 102 DOPICO | 125 FUENTES | 125 GUZMAN | 125 LEMUS |
| 102 CONCEPTION | 115 DORADO | 101 FULLA | 101 HECHAVARRIA | 125 LEON |
| 115 CONDE | 102 DORANTES | 115 GABALDON | | |
| 125 CONTRERAS | | | 125 HENRIQUEZ | 115 LEOS |
| | 125 DUARTE | 101 GABOUER | 125 HERNANDEZ | 105 LEPE |
| 105 CORCHADO | 125 DURAN | 125 GALARZA | 102 HERNENDEZ | 115 LEYBA |
| 101 CORDENAS | 102 DURATE | 125 GALARZA | 105 HERRADA | 125 LEYVA |
| 102 CORDERA | 115 DURON | 115 GALAVIZ | 125 HERRERA | 125 LINARES |
| 125 CORDERO | 102 ECHAVEZ | 101 GALBA | 105 HERRERO | 125 LLAMAS |
| 115 CORDOBA | 125 ECHEVARRIA | 115 GALICIA | 101 HERRNANDEZ | 105 LLANAS |
| 101 CORDORA | 115 ELIZALDE | 125 GALINDO | 125 HOLGUIN | 101 LOBASH |
| 125 CORDOVA | 102 ELIZARRARAZ | 102 GALLAGA | 101 HORBACH | 105 LONA |
| 102 COREAS | 125 ELIZONDO | 125 GALLARDO | 115 HORTA | 110 LONDONO |
| 101 CORIANO | 125 ENRIQUEZ | 125 GALLEGOS | 125 HUERTA | 102 LOPERENA |
| 125 CORNEJO | 125 ESCALANTE | 125 GALVAN | 101 HUERTO | 125 LOPEZ |
| 125 CORONA | 125 ESCAMILLA | 125 GALVEZ | 125 IBARRA | 105 LOREDO |
| 125 CORONADO | 110 ESCARENO | 125 GAMBOA | 101 ICAZA | 101 LORES |
| 110 CORONEL | 125 ESCOBAR | 125 GAMEZ | 125 IGLESIAS | 101 LORIE |
| 125 CORRAL | 125 ESCOBEDO | 105 GAMINO | 101 INDACOCHEA | 125 LOVATO |
| 125 CORREA | 102 ESPARSEN | 102 GANA | 115 INIGUEZ | 125 LOYA |
| 125 CORTES | 125 ESPARZA | 125 GAONA | 125 IRIZARRY | 125 LOZADA |
| 125 CORTEZ | 125 ESPINO | 125 GARAY | 101 IRRIZARY | 125 LOZANO |
| 102 CORZO | 125 ESPINOSA | 115 GARCES | 102 ISAIS | 125 LUCIO |
| 125 COTTO | 125 ESPINOZA | 125 GARCIA | 102 ISASSI | 110 LUERA |
| 125 COVARRUBIAS | 125 ESQUIBEL | 110 GARDEA | 102 ISLA | 125 LUEVANO |
| 125 CRESPO | 125 ESQUIVEL | 125 GARIBAY | 115 ISLAS | 125 LUGO |
| 101 CRISTAN | 125 ESTRADA | 101 GARMENDIA | 115 IZAGUIRRE | 125 LUJAN |
| 125 CRUZ | 102 ESTRELLO | 125 GARZA | 115 IZQUIERDO | 125 LUNA |
| 105 CUADRADO | 101 ESTUDILLO | 105 GAUNA | 125 JAIME | 105 LUPERCIO |
| 125 CUELLAR | 101 EURESTE | 125 GAYTAN | 125 JAQUEZ | 110 MACHUCA |
| 110 CUETO | 115 FABELA | 115 GERENA | 125 JARAMILLO | 102 MACIA |
| 102 CURA | 125 FAJARDO | 125 GIL | 115 JAUREGUI | 125 MACIAS |
| 125 CURIEL | 101 FALLA | 110 GIMENEZ | 125 JIMENEZ | 101 MACIS |
| 102 DAGNINO | 105 FANDINO | 125 GIRON | 125 JUAREZ | 125 MADERA |
| 110 DAMIAN | 125 FARIAS | 115 GLORIA | 115 JUSINO | 125 MADRID |
| 102 DANDA | 115 FAVELA | 125 GODINEZ | 125 LABOY | 125 MADRIGAL |
| 115 DAVALOS | | | | |
| | 105 FEBUS | 125 GODOY | 101 LACERA | 125 MAGANA |
| 125 DAVILA | 125 FELICIANO | 125 GOMEZ | 102 LACHINO | 101 MAGDALENA |
| 110 DEHOYOS | 115 FELIPE | 110 GONGORA | 101 LAGONA | 101 MAJIA |
| 125 DEJESUS | 125 FERNANDEZ | 125 GONZALES | 115 LAGUNA | 110 MALDANADO |
| 101 DELAGUILA | 125 FERRER | 125 GONZALEZ | 110 LAGUNAS | 125 MALDONADO |
| 102 DELAHOZ | 110 FIGEROA | 125 GRACIA | 110 LAMAS | 102 MALDONALDO |
| 125 DELAO | 125 FIGUEROA | 102 GRANO | 115 LANDEROS | 110 MANCHA |
| 125 DELAROSA | 125 FLORES | 125 GUAJARDO | 115 LANDIN | 102 MANCIAS |
| | | | | |

| 101 MANIOLA | 101 MERZA | 110 MURGUIA | 125 OTERO | 105 PIZZARO |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 102 MANJARRES | 125 MESA | 105 MURIEL | 115 OVALLE | 101 PLACENCIO |
| 110 MANRIQUE | 125 MEZA | 125 MURILLO | 110 OYOLA | 115 PLAZA |
| 102 MANSO | 105 MIJARES | 101 MUROS | 125 PABON | 125 POLANCO |
| 102 MARADIAGA | 102 MINAS | | 125 PACHECO | 125 PONCE |
| | | 102 NAJARRO | | |
| 101 MARANDO | 125 MIRAMONTES | 125 NAJERA | 101 PACHON | 125 PORRAS |
| 105 MARAVILLA | 125 MIRANDA | 102 NARUAEZ | 125 PADILLA | 102 PORTES |
| 115 MARCANO | 125 MIRELES | 125 NARVAEZ | 125 PADRON | 125 PORTILLO |
| 110 MARCHAN | 125 MOJICA | 115 NATAL | 105 PADUA | 105 PRADA |
| 105 MARCIAL | 125 MOLINA | 125 NAVA | 125 PAGAN | 125 PRADO |
| 125 MARES | 110 MOLINAR | 102 NAVAR | 125 PALACIOS | 125 PRIETO |
| 115 MAREZ | 115 MONCADA | 125 NAVARRETE | 105 PALAFOX | 102 PROA |
| 110 MARIA | 125 MONDRAGON | 115 NAVARRETTE | 105 PALENCIA | 125 PUENTE |
| 101 MARICHALAR | 102 MONGES | 125 NAVARRO | 102 PALMERIN | 125 PULIDO |
| 101 MARIMAN | 102 MONOZ | 102 NAVEDO | 101 PALMIER | 125 QUESADA |
| 125 MARIN | 105 MONROIG | 125 NEGRETE | 102 PALOMAR | 115 QUEVEDO |
| 105 MARINEZ | 125 MONROY | 125 NEGRON | 125 PALOMINO | 125 QUINONES |
| 101 MAROS | 125 MONTALVO | 125 NEVAREZ | 105 PALOS | 125 QUINTANA |
| 125 MARQUEZ | 125 MONTANEZ | 125 NIEVES | 115 PANIAGUA | 105 QUINTANAR |
| 125 MARRERO | 125 MONTANO | 125 NINO | 125 PANTOJA | 125 QUINTANILLA |
| 125 MARROQUIN | 102 MONTECINOS | 102 NOLAZCO | 115 PARADA | 125 QUINTERO |
| 115 MARRUFO | 110 MONTEJO | 105 NOVA | 105 PARAMO | 101 QUIRCH |
| 125 MARTINEZ | 125 MONTENEGRO | 102 NOVALES | 125 PAREDES | 115 QUIROGA |
| 125 MATA | 125 MONTERO | 101 NOVARRO | 125 PARRA | 125 QUIROZ |
| 125 MATEO | 125 MONTES | 125 NUNEZ | 105 PARRALES | 102 RABADAN |
| 125 MATIAS | 105 MONTESINOS | 105 OBESO | 125 PARTIDA | 101 RABEY |
| 125 MATOS | 105 MONTEZUMA | 102 OBLEDO | 101 PASCENTE | 101 RAMAS |
| 101 MATZINGER | 115 MONTIEL | 115 OBREGON | 110 PASTRANA | 102 RAMIEREZ |
| 115 MAURICIO | 105 MONTILLA | 125 OCAMPO | 125 PATINO | 125 RAMIREZ |
| 125 MAYA | 125 MONTOYA | 125 OCASIO | 102 PATLAN | 125 RAMON |
| 125 MAYORGA | 125 MORA | 125 OCHOA | 102 PAYES | 125 RAMOS |
| 101 MAZAN | 105 MORADO | 101 ODANIELL | 102 PAZMINO | 125 RANGEL |
| 101 MAZIN | 101 MORAES | 125 OJEDA | 101 PECHTER | 102 RANJEL |
| 115 MEDELLIN | 105 MORAGA | 102 OLAVARRIA | 105 PECINA | 101 RAUL |
| 125 MEDINA | 101 MORAL | 125 OLIVARES | 125 PEDRAZA | 102 RAVAGO |
| 125 MEDRANO | 125 MORALES | 125 OLIVO | 125 PEDROZA | 105 RAVELO |
| 125 MEJIA | 102 MORARIO | 105 OLMEDA | | |
| | | | 125 PENA | 125 RAYA |
| 115 MELCHOR | 102 MORATAYA | 125 OLMOS | 125 PERALTA | 105 RAYMUNDO |
| 105 MELECIO | 110 MOREJON | 125 OLVERA | 115 PERDOMO | 102 RAYNOSO |
| 125 MELENDEZ | 102 MORELOS | 102 ONATE | 125 PEREA | 102 RECENDEZ |
| 105 MELENDREZ | 125 MORENO | 125 ONTIVEROS | 125 PERES | 125 REGALADO |
| 102 MELIAN | 101 MORISETTE | 125 OQUENDO | 125 PEREZ | 102 REGUERA |
| 102 MELLADO | 102 MORRALES | 115 ORDAZ | 102 PESANTE | 105 REINOSO |
| 101 MEMBIELA | 101 MOSCARELLA | 125 ORDONEZ | 105 PILLOT | 101 REMES |
| 125 MENA | 115 MOSQUEDA | 102 ORDUNO | 125 PINA | 125 RENDON |
| 125 MENCHACA | 110 MOSQUERA | 101 ORJUELA | 101 PINDA | 102 RENGIFO |
| 102 MENDER | 125 MOTA | 115 OROPEZA | 125 PINEDA | 125 RENTERIA |
| 125 MENDEZ | 102 MOURE | 125 OROZCO | 105 PINEIRO | 125 RESENDEZ |
| 125 MENDOZA | 125 MOYA | 125 ORTEGA | 102 PINEL | 110 RESENDIZ |
| 125 MENENDEZ | 101 MOZA | 125 ORTIZ | 101 PINONES | 110 RESTO |
| 101 MER | 105 MUNDO | 101 ORTUZ | 105 PINZON | 102 REVOLORIO |
| 125 MERCADO | 102 MUNIS | 125 OSORIO | 101 PIQUERO | 125 REYES |
| 110 MERCED | 125 MUNIZ | 105 OSORNIO | 105 PIZANA | 125 REYNA |
| 102 MERENO | 125 MUNOZ | 101 OSTOS | 115 PIZANO | 110 REYNOSA |
| | | | | |

| 125 REYNOSO | 125 SANTANA | 125 TERRAZAS | 125 VASQUEZ | 125 ZAMBRANO |
|---------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| 125 RICO | 102 SANTELL | 110 TERRONES | 125 VAZQUEZ | 125 ZAMORA |
| 125 RINCON | 125 SANTIAGO | 101 TERRONEZ | 125 VEGA | 125 ZAMUDIO |
| 125 RIOJAS | 125 SANTILLAN | 102 TIENDA | 110 VEGAS | 125 ZAPATA |
| 125 RIOS | 102 SANTILLANA | 125 TIJERINA | 125 VELA | 125 ZARAGOZA |
| 125 RIVAS | 101 SANTILLANO | 115 TINOCO | 115 VELARDE | 125 ZARATE |
| 125 RIVERA | 101 SANTIS | 125 TIRADO | 125 VELASCO | 125 ZAVALA |
| 125 RIVERO | 105 SANTOY | 110 TOBAR | 125 VELASQUEZ | 105 ZENTENO |
| 115 ROA | 115 SANTOYO | 125 TOLEDO | 125 VELAZQUEZ | 125 ZEPEDA |
| 125 ROBLES | 101 SAPALA | 101 TOLENTO | 101 VELDE | 110 ZERMENO |
| 125 ROCHA | 115 SAPIEN | 115 TOPETE | 101 VELENZUELA | 102 ZULUAGA |
| 102 ROCHEL | 115 SARAVIA | 105 TORIBIO | 101 VELETA | 125 ZUNIGA |
| 115 RODAS | 102 SASTRE | 125 TORO | 125 VELEZ | 102 ZUNO |
| 102 RODELA | 125 SAUCEDO | 102 TORRADO | | |
| | | | 125 VELIZ | 105 ZURITA |
| 101 RODRIGEUZ | 110 SEGARRA | 102 TORRENTE | 115 VELOZ | 125 PALOMO |
| 125 RODRIGUEZ | 101 SEGOBIANO | 125 TORRES | 125 VENEGAS | 105 PALOS |
| 125 RODRIQUEZ | 125 SEGURA | 125 TORREZ | 101 VENET | 110 PANDO |
| 125 ROJAS | 101 SENDEJAS | 125 TOVAR | 125 VERA | 102 PANETO |
| 125 ROJO | 105 SEPEDA | 125 TREJO | 102 VERASTEGUI | 115 PANIAGUA |
| 125 ROLDAN | 125 SEPULVEDA | 125 TREVINO | 101 VERDU | 102 PANTALEON |
| 125 ROMERO | 125 SERNA | 125 TRUJILLO | 125 VERGARA | 125 PANTOJA |
| 125 ROMO | 102 SERNAS | 101 TRUPPA | 102 VICENS | 115 PARADA |
| 125 ROQUE | 125 SERRANO | 105 TURCIOS | 102 VIEYRA | 105 PARAMO |
| 125 ROSADO | 125 SERRATO | 102 UBALLE | 101 VILAND | 125 PAREDES |
| 125 ROSALES | 125 SEVILLA | 125 ULIBARRI | 101 VILARO | 105 PAREDEZ |
| 125 ROSARIO | 125 SIERRA | 125 ULLOA | 102 VILCHIS | 105 PARES |
| 125 ROSAS | 115 SIFUENTES | 125 URBINA | 125 VILLA | 125 PARRA |
| 102 ROSENDO | 105 SIMENTAL | 125 URIBE | 102 VILLAFRANCA | 125 PARTIDA |
| 115 RUANO | 101 SIMOS | 102 URIZAR | 105 VILLAFUERTE | 101 PASCENTE |
| 110 RUBALCAVA | 105 SIORDIA | 102 URQUIZA | 125 VILLAGOMEZ | 115 PASILLAS |
| 105 RUBI | 125 SOLANO | 102 URRA | 125 VILLALOBOS | 110 PASTRANA |
| 125 RUELAS | 125 SOLIS | 125 URRUTIA | 105 VILLALON | 125 PATINO |
| 125 RUIZ | 101 SOPENA | 125 VACA | 125 VILLALPANDO | 102 PATLAN |
| 125 SAENZ | 125 SORIA | 125 VALADEZ | 110 VILLALTA | 105 PAVON |
| 101 SAITER | 125 SOSA | 125 VALDES | 125 VILLANUEVA | 110 PAYAN |
| 125 SALAS | 125 SOTELO | 125 VALDEZ | 102 VILLAPANDO | 102 PAYES |
| 125 SALAZAR | 125 SOTO | 125 VALDIVIA | 125 VILLAREAL | 125 PAZ |
| 125 SALCEDO | 101 SOTORRIO | 125 VALENCIA | 125 VILLARREAL | 101 PECHTER |
| 125 SALCIDO | 105 SOZA | 125 VALENTIN | 115 VILLATORO | 105 PECINA |
| 125 SALDANA | 125 SUAREZ | 125 VALENZUELA | 125 VILLEGAS | 125 PEDRAZA |
| 102 SALDIERNA | 115 SUAZO | 115 VALERO | 115 VIRAMONTES | 101 PEDREGAL |
| 125 SALDIVAR | 101 SUCILLA | 105 VALLARTA | 105 VIRELLA | 101 PEDREYRA |
| 125 SALGADO | 105 SUERO | 125 VALLE | 105 VISCARRA | 125 PEDROZA |
| | | | | |
| 101 SALGERO | 101 SULA | 125 VALLEJO | 101 VITE | 110 PELAEZ |
| 125 SALINAS | 101 TALLET | 115 VALLEJOS | 105 VIVANCO | 125 PELAYO |
| 110 SALMERON | 101 TALLET | 105 VALLEZ | 105 VIVAS | 102 PELLES |
| 101 SALTO | 125 TAMAYO | 101 VANAGAS | 125 YANEZ | 125 PENA |
| 125 SAMANIEGO | 125 TAMEZ | 101 VANDA | 125 YBARRA | 110 PENALOZA |
| 102 SAMANO | 125 TAPIA | 102 VANGAS | 102 YRACHETA | 101 PENARANDA |
| 102 SANCEN | 101 TARDI | 125 VARELA | 105 YZAGUIRRE | 101 PENIN |
| 125 SANCHES | 101 TAYO | 125 VARGAS | 110 ZABALA | 101 PEON |
| 125 SANCHEZ | 125 TELLO | 101 VARGES | 101 ZABOR | 125 PERALES |
| 125 SANDOVAL | 115 TENORIO | 101 VARGOS | 105 ZALDIVAR | 110 PERALEZ |
| 115 SANMIGUEL | 101 TERRANDO | 115 VASQUES | 115 ZAMARRIPA | 125 PERALTA |
| | | | | |

| 115 PERDOMO | 125 QUINONES | 115 RIZO | 125 SALDIVAR | 125 SEVILLA |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| 125 PEREA | 125 QUINONEZ | 115 ROA | 125 SALGADO | 105 SIBRIAN |
| 125 PERES | 125 QUINTANA | 102 ROBAINA | 101 SALGATO | 125 SIERRA |
| 115 PEREYRA | 125 QUINTERO | 125 ROBLEDO | 105 SALIDO | 101 SIGAL |
| 125 PEREZ | 101 QUIRCH | 125 ROBLES | 125 SALINAS | 115 SIGALA |
| 101 PIANCA | 115 QUIROGA | 125 ROCHA | 110 SALMERON | 102 SILIS |
| 102 PICON | 125 QUIROZ | 102 ROCHEL | 125 SAMANIEGO | 105 SIMENTAL |
| 110 PIEDRA | 101 RAICES | 102 ROCHO | 102 SAMANO | 101 SIMOS |
| 105 PILLOT | 101 RAMAS | 125 RODARTE | 105 SAMUDIO | 101 SOBERON |
| 125 PINA | 102 RAMIERZ | 115 RODAS | 125 SANABRIA | 125 SOLANO |
| 125 PINEDA | 125 RAMIREZ | 102 RODELA | 125 SANCHES | 115 SOLARES |
| 110 PINEDO | 125 RAMON | 125 RODRIGUEZ | 125 SANCHEZ | 125 SOLIS |
| 115 PINON | 125 RAMOS | 125 RODRIQUEZ | 102 SANDAVAL | 125 SOLIS 125 SOLIZ |
| 101 PINONES | 101 RAMPA | 102 ROGAS | 102 SANDIEGO | 125 SOLIZ 125 SOLORIO |
| | | | | |
| 102 PINOS | 125 RANGEL | 101 ROJANO | 102 SANDINO | 101 SOMORA |
| 105 PINTADO | 125 RASCON | 125 ROJAS | 125 SANDOVAL | 101 SOPENA |
| 105 PINTOR | 101 RAUL | 125 ROJO | 102 SANJURJO | 125 SORIA |
| 105 PINZON | 125 RAYA | 125 ROLDAN | 115 SANMIGUEL | 125 SOSA |
| 101 PIQUERO | 110 RAYO | 125 ROLON | 101 SANTALLA | 102 SOTA |
| 105 PITA | 125 RAZO | 102 ROMANES | 125 SANTANA | 102 SOTELLO |
| 115 PIZANO | 105 REBOLLEDO | 102 ROMEN | 125 SANTIAGO | 125 SOTELO |
| 125 PIZARRO | 102 RECENDEZ | 125 ROMERO | 125 SANTILLAN | 125 SOTO |
| 110 PLACENCIA | 125 REGALADO | 125 ROMO | 101 SANTILLANO | 105 SOTOLONGO |
| 105 PLASENCIA | 102 REGINO | 102 RON | 101 SANTIS | 110 SOTOMAYOR |
| 115 PLATA | 102 REGUERA | 101 RONCEROS | 105 SANTOY | 102 SOUCHET |
| 115 PLAZA | 105 REINOSO | 125 ROQUE | 115 SANTOYO | 105 SOZA |
| 125 POLANCO | 102 RELLES | 125 ROSADO | 115 SAPIEN | 125 SUAREZ |
| 125 PONCE | 101 REMES | 125 ROSALES | 125 SARABIA | 101 SUCILLA |
| 102 POPOCA | 101 REMOS | 125 ROSARIO | 115 SARAVIA | 105 SUERO |
| 125 PORRAS | 101 RENDO | 125 ROSAS | 102 SARDINAS | 101 SULA |
| 105 PORTALATIN | 125 RENDON | 102 ROSENDO | 125 SAUCEDA | 101 SUZANNE |
| 125 PORTILLO | 115 RENTAS | 101 ROZOS | 125 SAUCEDO | 102 TABORA |
| 102 POSADAS | 125 RENTERIA | 115 RUANO | 105 SAVALA | 125 TAFOYA |
| 105 POZO | 102 REQUENA | 110 RUBALCABA | 102 SEDANO | 115 TALAVERA |
| 105 PRADA | 125 RESENDEZ | 110 RUBALCAVA | 101 SEDENO | 125 TAMAYO |
| 101 PRADEL | 110 RESENDIZ | 101 RUBALCAVE | 110 SEGARRA | 125 TAMEZ |
| 125 PRADO | 110 RESTO | 125 RUBIO | 101 SEGOBIANO | 125 TAPIA |
| 102 PRATS | 115 RESTREPO | 115 RUEDA | 125 SEGOVIA | 101 TARDI |
| 101 PRESA | 102 REVOLORIO | 125 RUIZ | 102 SEGOVIANO | 115 TAVAREZ |
| 102 PREZA | 125 REYES | 125 SAAVEDRA | 101 SEGREDO | 101 TAYO |
| 101 PRIEGO | 125 REYNA | 110 SABALA | 125 SEGURA | 125 TEJADA |
| 125 PRIETO | 102 REYNE | 125 SAENZ | 102 SELAN | 125 TEJEDA |
| 102 PROA | 110 REYNOSA | 115 SAEZ | 101 SEMA | 125 TELLEZ |
| 102 PROANO | 125 REYNOSO | 110 SAIS | 102 SENCION | 125 TELLO |
| 101 PUBILL | 110 REZA | 101 SAITER | 105 SENTENO | 115 TENORIO |
| 102 PUEBLA | 101 RIBES | 102 SALAMANCA | 125 SEPULVEDA | 125 TERAN |
| 125 PUENTE | 125 RICO | 125 SALAS | 105 SEQURA | 101 TERRAN |
| 115 PUENTES | 125 RINCON | 125 SALAZAR | 125 SERNA | 101 TERRANDO |
| 115 PUIG | 125 RIOJAS | 102 SALCE | 102 SERNAS | 125 TERRAZAS |
| 125 PULIDO | 125 RIOS | 101 SALCEDA | 125 SERRANO | 110 TERRONES |
| | | | | |
| 101 QUARRELL | 125 RIVAS | 125 SALCEDO | 125 SERRATO | 101 TERRONEZ |
| 125 QUESADA | 125 RIVERA | 125 SALCIDO | 110 SERVANTES | 102 TIENDA |
| 115 QUEVEDO | 125 RIVERO | 125 SALDANA | 101 SERVERA | 125 TIJERINA |
| 125 QUEZADA | 101 RIVIELLO | 102 SALDANO | 110 SERVIN | 115 TINOCO |

| 125 TID A DO | 125 MADELA | 105 MDELLA |
|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| 125 TIRADO | 125 VARELA | 105 VIRELLA |
| 110 TOBAR | 125 VARGAS | 102 VIVAR |
| 105 TOBON | 115 VASQUES | 105 VIVAS |
| 125 TOLEDO | 125 VASQUEZ | 110 VIVEROS |
| 105 TORIBIO | 125 VAZQUEZ | 110 VIZCARRA |
| 125 TORO | 125 VEGA | 110 YANES |
| 102 TORRADO | 125 VELA | 125 YANEZ |
| 102 TORRENTE | 102 VELAQUEZ | 101 YANIZ |
| 125 TORRES | 115 VELARDE | 125 YBARRA |
| 125 TORREZ | 125 VELASCO | 115 YEPEZ |
| 101 TORT | 125 VELASQUEZ | 102 YEPIZ |
| 125 TOVAR | 125 VELAZQUEZ | 102 YERO |
| 125 TREJO | 101 VELDE | 105 YNIGUEZ |
| 125 TREVINO | 102 VELETA | 102 YRACHETA |
| 110 TREVIZO | 125 VELEZ | 110 ZABALA |
| 110 TRISTAN | 125 VELIZ | 101 ZABOR |
| 125 TRUJILLO | 115 VELOZ | 105 ZALDIVAR |
| 101 TRUPPA | 125 VENEGAS | 115 ZAMARRIPA |
| 102 TUR | 101 VENET | 125 ZAMBRANO |
| 101 UBEDA | 125 VERA | 125 ZAMORA |
| 101 UGARTECHEA | 102 VERASTEGUI | 125 ZAMUDIO |
| 125 ULLOA | 101 VERDEJA | 125 ZAPATA |
| 110 UMANA | 125 VERGARA | 125 ZARAGOZA |
| 102 UMANZOR | 101 VERTIZ | 125 ZARATE |
| 125 URBINA | 102 VICENS | 102 ZARO |
| 125 URIAS | 102 VICTORES | 125 ZAVALA |
| 125 URIBE | 105 VIDALES | 125 ZAYAS |
| 102 URRA | 125 VIERA | 125 ZELAYA |
| 125 URRUTIA | 102 VIEYRA | 105 ZELEDON |
| 102 URSUA | 125 VIGIL | 105 ZENTENO |
| 125 VACA | 105 VIGO | 101 ZEPADA |
| 125 VALADEZ | 101 VILAND | 125 ZEPEDA |
| 101 VALANTIN | 101 VILARO | 110 ZERMENO |
| 105 VALAZQUEZ | 102 VILCHIS | 102 ZORRILLA |
| 125 VALDES | 125 VILLA | 101 ZULAICA |
| 125 VALDEZ | 105 VILLAFANE | 102 ZULUAGA |
| 125 VALDIVIA | 125 VILLAGOMEZ | 125 ZUNIGA |
| 102 VALDIZON | 105 VILLAGRANA | |
| 115 VALDOVINOS | 115 VILLALBA | |
| 125 VALENCIA | 125 VILLALOBOS | |
| 125 VALENTIN | 105 VILLALON | |
| 125 VALENZUELA | 102 VILLAMIL | |
| 110 VALERA | 125 VILLANUEVA | |
| 115 VALERO | 110 VILLANVEVA | |
| 125 VALLADARES | 125 VILLAREAL | |
| 125 VALLE | 125 VILLARREAL | |
| 125 VALLEJO | 102 VILLASANA | |
| 125 VALLES | 125 VILLASANA 125 VILLASENOR | |
| 105 VALLEZ | 115 VILLATORO | |
| 110 VALTIERRA | 125 VILLEGAS | |
| 125 VALVERDE | 105 VILLICANA | |
| | | |
| 101 VANAGAS | 101 VILLRREAL | |
| 101 VANDA | 102 VINCENTE | |
| 101 VAQUEDANO | 115 VIRAMONTES | |

For more information, contact:
Illinois Department of Public Health
Division of Epidemiologic Studies
605 West Jefferson Street
Springfield, Illinois 62761
Phone 217-785-1873
FAX 217-524-1770
TTY (hearing impaired use only) 800-547-0466

Printed by Authority of the State of Illinois

P.O. #547097 900 8/98